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Fragmentation: Towards an Expanded Model on the Vulnerability of Forest Habitats on Islands

G. Brent Ingram

ABSTRACT

Archipelagoes have had a central place in the development of modern concepts of biogeography and fragmentation. While the vulnerability to further fragmentation and other forms of human-induced disturbance is recognized, the variables which determine how local biological diversity will fare under proposed disturbance whether from industrial logging, expanded agriculture, roads or more subtle alterations of the landscape have been poorly explored. Without such a framework, it is difficult to formulate a range of mitigation measures as part of comprehensive land use planning. This paper proposes an approach which emphasizes concepts from the emerging field of landscape ecology along with cause-effect linkages as related to particular human-induced disturbances. An assessment methodology is described which considers:

1. island size;
2. isolation, rates of colonization and island age;
3. terrain and other physical parameters;
4. ecosystem structure;
5. pre-human disturbance regimens;
6. patterns of endemism, rarity and intra-specific variation;
7. requirements of vulnerable organisms and the viability of particular populations; and
8. traditional cultural factors and the potential impacts of particular technologies.

The data and modelling requirements for each set of factors and their inter-relationships are explored. Some applications for land use planning on the remaining "wilderness" islands with rainforest are considered. Three examples are described: Sibonut, Mentawai Islands, Sumatra Barat, Indonesia; Ferguson Island, D'Entrecasteaux Islands, Milne Bay Province, Papua New Guinea; and Burnaby Island, Skwaw-Kungen, Queen Charlotte Islands, Canada.

ARCHIPELAGOES AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF MODERN CONCEPTS OF BIOGEOGRAPHY AND FRAGMENTATION

The concept of "fragmentation" (Lovejoy et al., 1984; Temple and Wilcox 1985; Wilcove et al., 1986) – the loss of species in areas with for-
merly contiguous mosaics of habitats—has taken on a central position in conservation biology theory, particularly on islands and in landscapes dominated by forest. The emerging concerns for biological diversity—and in particular the minimizing of the loss of heritable variation and the ecological and biogeographical processes that sustain it (Ehrlich, 1988)—have provided a more general forum for the implications of the loss and isolation of habitat.

We are living in a time where virtually all of the habitats and landscapes on Earth are threatened with some form of fragmentation. But does the cumulative fragmentation of a natural landscape necessarily lead to loss of species? No, loss of habitat that provides the requirements that a species has for survival, along with new threats from diverse factors such as increased competition and degradation of the regional environment, lead to extirpation and extinctions. In order to make land use planning and management decisions (including reserve design) effective at the conservation of biological diversity, the types and interactions of fragmentation processes must be considered.

An understanding of the specific processes which constitute fragmentation has been slow in coming and the theory of island biogeography still comprises a contentious set of theories. Island biogeography theory emerged from information on land masses isolated by water (MacArthur and Wilson, 1967) and this in turn has too often been over-generalized for other settings. Processes of fragmentation have, so far, been rarely verified empirically nor quantified (Case and Cody, 1987). In response to this problem, this paper speculates on the variables of a very particular set of fragmentation processes: on those marine islands which still have landscapes dominated by primary rainforest.

Much of the theory of island biogeography emerged from the work of Diamond on insular avifaunas (Diamond, 1971, 1972, 1975). Some of the obvious relationships between isolate size, spatial, isolation, time factors and species compositions are undeniable. But in many other cases, a divergence of interpretations becomes apparent—differences that represent both gaps in the general theory and an understanding of the cumulative impacts (Dickert and Tuttle, 1985), as well as inadequate information on particular organisms, biophysical settings and human-induced changes.

Area-specific descriptions and better-tailored predictive models for quantification of such relationships will provide a better basis for reserve design. Unfortunately, for most parts of the world, adequate data sets are decades away. Still questions must be posed, inventorying and monitoring organized, and partial, qualified answers devised now.

In the next decade, nearly all of the primary rainforest on the Earth's islands are scheduled for cutting by logging concessions or will be cleared for agriculture and tourist facilities. There is currently a tremendous economic incentive to log lowland rainforest. Most of this timber is exported to affluent or newly industrialized countries such as Japan and South Korea and then is exported to the rest of world as packing or as products. Islands have often been attractive for logging because of the relative ease of marine transport to metropolitan centres. But export-oriented logging is capital-intensive and because of the higher costs in establishment
of operations on islands, there are pressures to maximize the short-term extraction of timber in order to generate profit.

This discussion is concerned with few islands left with large tracts of primary rainforest and considers how networks of protected areas and well-managed buffers can be designed in the coming decade. All of these areas have some conservation programmes though research on island ecology is necessary for effective land management for conservation, tourism and where appropriate, extractive uses which are highly regulated and monitored.

This paper is not to make new island/rainforest-wide generalizations but rather is for development of a method of landscape ecology analysis – for the factors that determine the sites, species and severity of the vulnerability to fragmentation. I use three examples to illustrate environmental conditions and research questions. All three islands have relatively high degrees of endemism, in terms of their respective regions, and are or were under pressures for logging. The islands are:

Burnaby Island, Skwo-ikungwah-l, Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia, Canada (temperate Nearctic, unstable low-lying mountains, post-glacial (<10,000 years) low diversity but endemics and disjuncts associated with glacial refugia and more recent climatic fluctuations, a traditional social economy under 10,000 years in age that was marine oriented with only modest alteration of the forest);

Sibujut Island, Sumatra Barat, Indonesia (tropical Indo-Malayan, low-lying and swampy with high rates of tropical weathering, a continental island increasingly isolated, geologically, from the Asian mainland, extremely high species diversity and endemism associated with island isolation and possible Pleistocene ever-moist refugia, possibly a fairly recent period of human occupation with intensive use of riverine corridors for garden agriculture and intensive exploitation of forest plant and animal resources); and

Fergusson Island, Milne Bay Province, Papua New Guinea (tropical Australasian, extremely steep with rapid and on-going uplift and high rates of tropical weathering, volcanism, highly diverse forest communities including dry forest, island endemics and extremely rare and evolutionarily distinct vertebrates, a traditional system of lowland gardens and low levels of exploitation of forest resources).

All three islands have been subjects of conservation-related research (Ingram, 1989) and extensive monitoring and modelling will be necessary. More site-specific planning (Ingram in press) as well as adaptive management is necessary in order to forge a framework for "sustainable" conservation of local biological diversity. Development of island-specific research programmes to better understand fragmentation are increasingly recognized as necessary as the basis for on-going conservation interventions.

HUMAN-INDUCED CHANGE ON ISLANDS WITH LARGE TRACTS
OF PRIMARY RAINFOREST

The ecology of islands, or rather progressive interpretations of their ecologies, have played a key role in the development of community biology as a whole (Berry, 1983). Since the advent of island biogeography theory
there have been numerous applications to the management of habitat isolates (Gorman, 1979).

The world's remaining islands with large tracts of remaining primary rainforest are some of the most diverse areas, in terms of habitat mosaics and species numbers, both in their respective regions and in the world. This is particularly the case in the humid tropics where there are adjacent coral reefs. Such islands, therefore, constitute a particular global resource—one that is relatively vulnerable and neglected. Given that most of these islands are in the Pacific Rim and that pressures for extractive development are intensifying, such islands should be high priorities for careful planning of reserves, buffers and tourism as well as for intensive monitoring.

The modern alterations of island landscapes are producing changes which are often not comparable to those of natural processes nor impacts from traditional societies. The scales (Noss and Harris, 1986; Meentemeyer and Box, 1987) and rates and spatial magnitudes of change are often greatly different. Various technologies, the almost complete intrusion of the global economy with reduction of peripheral areas to resource extraction, and greater human populations have all exacerbated more natural extirpation and extinction processes.

The conservationism and preservationism dichotomy in biological conservation is not always workable on small islands. There is not enough room for areas of "pure" conservation nor anything but the most carefully considered and monitored use of the land and adjacent shallow areas (Dahl, 1985b).

MODELS FOR PREDICTION OF LOSS OF ELEMENTS OF LOCAL BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY FROM FRAGMENTATION

It may be possible to predict the vulnerability to fragmentation in terms of:

sizes of islands;
ecosystem and community types;
landform types;
frequencies and sizes of natural patches and gaps;
typologies of vulnerability for communities and species; and

in terms of patterns of social factors such as:

traditional and semi-permanent modification of ecosystems;
perticular technologies, sites, areas and intensities,
species introduction (Carlquist, 1974; Vitousek, 1988); and
demands for non-consumptive recreation.

Unfortunately, the only cohesive bodies of theory that have so far emerged have emphasized island size (MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; Diamond, 1972; Cole, 1981) and age and isolation (Diamond, 1971; Wilcox, 1978; Faeth and Connor, 1979).

There are discussions in the field of landscape ecology on patches (Pickett and Thompson, 1978; Brokaw, 1985), gaps (Denslow, 1980) and successional mosaics (Forman, 1982; Ewel, 1983; Merriam, 1988). There
are discourses from which less generalizations can arise involving disturbance and ecotones (Raney et al., 1981; Schonewald-Cox and Bayless, 1986; Hansen et al., 1988; Wiens et al., 1985) and the impacts of land use on adjacent fragments of protected habitat (Giacomini and Romani, 1978; Janzen, 1983).

We are in an age quite different from that from which island biogeography theory arose in the mid-1960s. The magnitudes of habitat alteration and conversion have increased well beyond what had been expected for some areas — particularly for isolated areas of the Pacific and with the advent of the strength of the Yen. The technologies to monitor and interactively model environmental impacts have made quantum leaps with satellite imagery and with the latest wave of computerized geographic information systems. Perhaps more importantly, our current “paradigms” (Kuhn, 1970) in biology and land use planning better allow for interactive frameworks for ascertaining biological requirements in nature conservation and for defining acceptable impacts of economic and social development (Golley, 1983; Sachs, 1984).

Given the pervasiveness of modern land use factors that induce fragmentation at various scales and intensities, the lack of a theoretical framework beyond island size and age is dangerous — both in contributing to the lack of expertise for precise decision-making in land use and in threatening the credibility of conservation efforts. What should we know for predicting vulnerability to fragmentation for a particular island or archipelago? And how should these inevitably long progressions of questions be linked in hierarchical and spatial models?

IDENTIFICATION OF KEY VARIABLES IN AN EXPANDED MODEL OF HABITAT FRAGMENTATION ON ISLANDS FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENT

What should a new theory of island biogeography consist of? Certainly, none of the early concerns are not important but their significance varies due to a range of other factors that follow.

1. Island size

Bigger islands do tend to support more species and greater habitat diversity over time. But how much diversity can a protected area be expected to sustain is less a function of area and more that of maintenance of strategically arranged mosaics with full ranges of local habitat types and prescribed amplitudes of disturbance regimens over extended periods.

Points of super-saturation (Diamond, 1971 and 1972) and consequent loss of diversity (Diamond, 1972) as related to species numbers and area of forest fragments probably result as much from rates of colonization and isolation in conjunction with intensifying rates of disturbance driven by forces external to reserves. And as was proposed by Diamond (1975), the shape of fragments of mosaics of habitats in conjunction with the shape of particular habitat isolates within these natural landscapes may have as much of a bearing in the extent of species loss as the total area in protection.
2. Isolation, rates of colonization and island age

The island biogeography model was based on a simplistic notion of isolation which emphasized distance. While this is probably much the case between marine islands, there are additional variables beyond distance, isolation and time as originally described by MacArthur and Wilson (1967). Older islands, particularly those which have been more stable ecologically, do tend to support more species — both non-endemic and endemic. Most forest fragments on islands are destined to be fairly unstable entities both in terms of size, boundaries and disturbance regimes and all of these factors retard recolonization processes especially as source areas of other natural habitats in the district dwindle and isolation increases.

The isolation of forest fragments over a terrestrial area can vary greatly depending on the type of land use and disturbance in the non-protected areas. For example, areas where forest has been converted to grassland usually pose more severe barriers and therefore are more isolating, than carefully managed areas of selective logging. But even here the possibility of making spatial generalizations breaks down. Rates of loss and recolonization are related to the spatial requirements of particular species and assemblages of species in relation to population size and available habitat features. Changes in competition and predation involve an additional dimension.

Besides becoming isolated, a protected fragment of forest may become degraded by such factors as recreational use, intensification of traditional use or regional environmental change. This brings the discussion back to the notion presented by Giacomini and Romani (1978) of networks of protected areas as “open systems.”

3. Terrain and other physical parameters

Fragmentation processes may differ radically depending on the landform and the size and shape of an island. Mountainous islands often support a diversity of habitat types that are particularly diverse and are therefore small and limited to certain elevations and micro-climates. The odds of fragmenting these habitat units to the point where a species can not persist are often higher than for flat islands. Likewise, areas with diverse mosaic of soil types, especially with species associated with one or a small number of soil types, are particularly prone to losses.

Reserve boundaries that allow for a full balance of habitat types represented in protected areas are necessary to avert losses. Because of island size, shape and isolation, some island systems may already be closer to some sort of super-saturation than others. Such vulnerable island systems should be the first candidates for land use planning emphasizing such non-consumptive uses as “eco-tourism” and research areas.

4. Ecosystem structure

The first set of variables in consideration of ecosystem structure, in terms of vulnerability to fragmentation, involve the extent of the terrestrial/marine interface. What is the extent of terrestrial/shallow marine ecosystems (Johannes, 1986; Ray, 1988) such as mangrove and saltmarsh? Are there species in the upper trophic levels dependent on both marine and
terrestrial food webs that involve small areas? What are the shapes and sizes of the "purely" terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems? Certainly the biological diversity of highly diverse tropical forest and coral reef coastal systems are problematic to maintain under anything but traditional or highly regulated land tenure (Dahl, 1985b; Hodgson, 1988; Hodgson and Dixon, 1988).

A second set of variables involves basic ecosystem functions resulting from temperature, rainfall and humidity and daily and seasonal fluctuations. There are radical differences between moist forest types in tropical and temperate settings but there are significant differences between ever-wet and seasonal forest types (Richards, 1952) that are at the same latitudes. On mountainous islands, elevation with implications for temperature and precipitation can be a key variable.

A third set of variables related to structure results from biogeographical factors such as the presence and roles of certain groups of animal species. For example, the ecosystem structures on Australasian and Indo-Malayan islands may be quite divergent especially in terms of the niches of forest-dwelling mammals. And similarly, islands which lack certain predators may be quite distinct in structure from similar mainland areas which do have those species.

5. Pre-human disturbance regimes

The intensity and frequency of natural disturbance regimes (Brady and Hanley, 1984) and indeed the shifts associated with the Pleistocene give some indication of the resilience of ecosystems and what may be required to maintain certain habitats, edges and species. We can no longer make generalizations about stability, disturbance and biodiversity for island and rainforest ecosystems. Even in the most disturbance-intensive successional mosaics and landscape edges, there are relatively stability-dependent species. Similarly, the micro-scale gaps in relatively stable primary rainforest are key to the persistence of certain organisms.

The concept of ecological amplitude is useful here. The species that have more specific requirements and limited tolerances are often more vulnerable to disappearance in a fragmented landscape — whether certain disturbances increase or decrease in terms of frequency, intensity or spatial coverage.

6. Patterns of endemism, rarity and intra-specific variation

Older islands tend to have higher levels of endemism than more recent ones. On more isolated islands, higher portions of overall species numbers often involve some form of endemism. Vacant niches, such as those on young islands, can often support rapid speciation — even in diversity-poor areas. Islands that have not been as influenced by the Pleistocene fluctuations in precipitation, temperatures and sea levels may well support higher levels of endemism.

Areas of relatively high degrees of endemism are difficult to predict and locate. Identification of the distributions of the endemics and possible "centres" diversity for certain groups and phyla is key and may determine
priority areas for conservation. For example, the high levels of tree endemism in Sri Lanka (Gunatilleke and Gunatilleke, 1984) suggest a relatively narrow membrane of foothill forest, the preservation of which is strategic for the maintenance of key elements of the island's biological diversity. Similarly, the spatial structure (Gilpin, 1987) of the populations of species which occur in low densities or which are rare can play a key role in identification of the key tracks of mosaics of natural habitat.

7. Requirements of vulnerable organisms and the viability of particular populations

Each population of each species involves a unique matrix of viability and vulnerability as based on heritable, ecological and human factors. Some natural populations, even of the same species, are more vulnerable to disappearance than others. In such cases, modest fragmentation of respective habitat isolates could be devastating. Population viability analysis could contain a component for rating the prospects of the persistence of a population under certain impacts associated with fragmentation. Certain island populations are probably so fragmented that they probably cannot survive additional types of perturbations.

The requirements for the in situ conservation of populations of wild plants with genetic resources have still been only poorly considered especially for the forests of South East Asia (Ingram, 1987). Likewise it is true for animals though more models are available for the resilience and fitness of vertebrate populations. In order to conserve much of the alleles of a particularly diverse population and species, maintenance of larger populations under a wider range of biophysical factors may be necessary.

8. Traditional cultural factors and the potential impacts of particular technologies

Even the most remote islands with large tracts of rainforest are still very much cultural landscapes that may be, in part, the result of both relatively sustainable patterns of traditional resource tenure (Johannes, 1982) and other types of land use that can radically alter mosaics of natural habitat (Rambo, 1982). The spatial distributions of human-induced disturbance regimes, no matter how subtle, should be carefully considered (Balser et al., 1981).

Certain types of technologies may be virtually incompatible with certain island ecosystems. For example, roads, road-building equipment and logging practices that induce erosion are a threat to some species in adjacent coral reefs. But every operation and activity involves various steps and technologies and only certain aspects of these change factors are devastating to certain requirements of certain species.

When particularly deleterious relationships can be identified, there is a potential for determining mitigation measures involving either alternative technologies or more regulated use. The more synergistic and cumulative linkages between technologies and the status of certain populations under fragmentation are difficult to quantify.
MODELLING AND CAUSE-EFFECT LINKAGES

Each island and system of islands requires a unique framework for analysis of vulnerability to fragmentation. The relative importance of the preceding sets of questions will vary as based on: 1. the biota; 2. the structure and spatial mosaics of ecosystems; and 3. the knowledge base — particularly for the more elusive species.

The analysis framework can then be used to first identify the most critical forest and marine fragments for conservation. Secondly, the key cause-effect linkages related to regional environmental change and sensitive habitat can be modelled to identify adjacent buffers and regulatory constraints on adjacent land use which will be necessary for long-term maintenance of local biological diversity (Ingram, 1989).

EXAMPLES AND DISCUSSION

Siberut, Mentawai Islands, Sumatra Barat, Indonesia

The Mentawai Islands are off the Indian Ocean coast of Sumatra. Siberut is the largest and most northerly of the four Mentawi Islands (see figure 1). The vegetation of Siberut consists of various types of tropical rainforest and, in particular, primary dipterocarp types (see figure 2). The Mentawai islands have some of the highest levels of island species endemism on Earth.

The total land mass of the Mentawai is roughly 7,000 square kilometers. The islands first became isolated from Sumatra about 4 million years ago but was connected intermittently until probably 500,000 years ago. The last time that Siberut was connected to the island of Sipora to the south was about 20,000 years before present.

The levels of species diversity in Indo-Malesian rainforest vary markedly with successional conditions, edaphic and climatic variations, and patterns of reproduction and dispersal. There is sometimes an inverse correlation between soil fertility and plant species diversity because of shorter regeneration cycles and the greater representation of species characteristic of the gap phase. Other discussions of the Sahul Shelf region have attributed the diversity of plant species to various factors related to fruit dispersal and patterns of seedling establishment.

The proportion of mammal endemism to area in the Mentawai Islands is one of the highest on Earth. The high degree of mammalian endemism, including four primate species, and the absence of large predators has been the source of extended scientific interest.

The Mentawai Islands are remarkable in the cultural isolation which extended into the Twentieth Century. This, “enabled the people to retain many cultural practices once common throughout archaic Indonesia” (Mitchell and Tilson, 1986). There was a New Stone Age level of development and an economy based on sago, taro, fishing, pig-raising and the hunting of primates.

The traditional religion of the Mentawai is centred on a belief in “internal harmony in the environment” and fostered a system of rituals and taboos which “kept people and forest resources in an equilibrium”

102
(Mitchell and Tilson, 1986). Hunting, gathering and agriculture require various observances and ceremonies for the maintenance of “balance”. Taboos and extensive knowledge of local ecosystems and populations contributed to relatively sustainable patterns of natural resource use. There

Figure 1: Siberut Island, Indonesia
Figure 2: Primary rainforest, Siberut Island

is a cultural landscape consisting of communal village lands and outlying swidden horticulture, ladang. These formerly modest gaps may well threaten certain populations as widths increase (figure 3).

By 1980, 4 logging companies were logging, with selective methods, on the islands and their concessions included all of the island except for the nature reserve, the cultivated land and settlements. A breakdown of land disturbance categories as of 1982 showed 13% of the total area of the island had been logged. Another 1 or 2% was probably disturbed for roads, camps and other aspects of operation.

There is compelling evidence that even careful, selective logging can alter forest environments to the extent that certain species can no longer survive in previously hospitable areas (Johns, 1983). As many as 70% of the mammals and birds of Siberut may be dependent on "intact primary lowland forest" for at least one point in their life histories. A major impact of logging and forest clearing is the decline in food availability and nesting sites.

Research for a conservation master plan for Siberut was begun in 1976 (WWF-indonesia 1980) and there was a subsequent proposal for management (Mitchell 1982). Three categories of use zones were proposed:

Development Zones (logging areas, settlements, rattan collecting, areas with the best potential for sustainable logging and agriculture);

Traditional Use Zones (wildlife reserve, buffer zone, village forest and strict protection forest), and

Nature Reserve Zone (Forest fruit collection was for human consumption and not for sale. Hunting, ladang clearings and new villages were to be prohibited).
A large portion of the Mentawai population has cooperated with the conservation proposals and has moved into or become more dependent on the traditional use zones. But the government squeezing of these traditional peoples into narrow coastal areas along with the lack of respect for the reserve boundaries by the logging operations could jeopardize the precarious balance. Since the management plan was approved (Mitchell, 1982), there has been little implementation. Logging has expanded and there has been little monitoring. There has yet to be an analysis of the logging technologies and mitigation measures that are necessary especially as related to the impact of road building and roads.

The two major contributors to fragmentation on Siberut are selective logging and expansion of village agricultural and gathering areas. Both types of processes are comparatively subtle. But for extended periods of time, the lack of mature forest structures for vertebrates and the change in microclimate and nutrient availability limit the distributions and movement of some vulnerable species. To understand key fragmentation processes and to model the viability of possible conservation strategies, it will be necessary to monitor the successional mosaics at the micro-scale within the complex, upper regions of the watersheds.

Fergusson Island, D’Entrecasteaux Islands, Milne Bay Province, Papua New Guinea

Fergusson Island is the largest island in the D’Entrecasteaux Archipe-
lago and one of the largest islands off of eastern New Guinea. It has an area of 1340 square kilometers with mountains which rise to 1830 meters (see figure 5). The island is particularly significant as it has, or rather had until 1988, one of the most pristine mosics of primary rainforest (see figure 6) and shallow marine ecosystems, for a relatively large, mountainous island, of anywhere on Earth (see figure 4).

The structures of terrestrial ecosystems of the offshore islands of New Guinea are poorly understood. Certainly, the relative lack of mammalian diversity allows for more niches to be occupied by birds. In this part of the northeastern Australasian continental mass, large tracts of ever-wet tropical forests may have been a comparatively recent development.

The area has a poorly understood blend of Australian and Asian plants and animals. Data on the D’Entrecasteaux Islands was key in Diamond’s (1971, 1972) original assertion of the dynamics of “saturation” in island biogeography (with its implication for reserve design). Diamond inferred from tentative data that the D’Entrecasteaux islands have been one land mass in periods of the Pleistocene, that had never been part of the New Guinea mainland. However, there have been divergent geological interpretations in recent years (Ingram, 1989).

The societies of the area are extremely diverse and it is difficult to generalize. Land is held by local household units. The subsistence economy is still viable. There is reliance on a wide array of wild species, population pressures are still low and political power is decentralized. The traditional

Figure 4: South coast of Fergusson Island
systems of swidden farming and management of resulting successional mosaics are relatively intact (see figure 7). There is even a locally initiated wildlife management area in a remote part of the centre of the island.

A relatively high portion of the bird species on the island have characteristics which make them particularly vulnerable to logging, roads and expansion of the swidden gardens – especially below 1500 meters elevation (Ingram, 1989). The large remaining areas of primary forest that are left constitute strategic tracts for the southeastern New Guinea region (Beehler, 1985). There are potential linkages to marine areas which are also extremely rich, biologically.

**Burnaby Island, Skwa-ikungwa-i, Queen Charlotte Islands, Canada**

Skwa-ikungwa-i is a North American example with relatively low species and habitat diversity, some island endemics (Foster, 1984), slow-growing “old growth” forest (Franklin 1981) (see figure 8), and a highly productive terrestrial/marine interface (see figure 11). The Queen Charlotte Islands lie to the west of the northern coast of British Columbia and to the south of southeastern Alaska. The Burnaby Island area is on the east coast of the South Moresby (see figure 9). There are complex mosaics of various shore, islet (see figure 10), lowland coniferous forest and sub-alpine habitats at various successional phases. Much of the forest is in relatively mature phases though there is on-going gap generation (Brady and Handley, 1984). Since the 1950s, the forests have been transformed by the introduced
Figure 7: Traditional swidden agriculture – Fergusson Island

Figure 8: Temperate rainforest dominated by *Picea sitchensis*, *Thuja plicata*, and *Tsuga heterophylla*, Burnaby Island
Figure 9: Burnaby Island, Canada
Figure 10: Islands to the southeast of Burnaby Island

Figure 11: Edge of a saltmarsh dominated by *Malus* spp., Burnaby Island
black-tailed deer, *Odocoileus hemionus sitkensis*, which, without natural predators on the Queen Charlotte Islands, is browsing to stubble much of the shrub layer.

The indigenous peoples, the Haida, probably have occupied the area since soon after the last glacial retreat around 10,000 years b.p. and these communities adapted to the ecological transitions from tundra to boreal to “warmer” coniferous forest and then to the cooler type of “rainforest” that exists today. Settlements in the Gwaii Haanas area were well-established and so too were culturally influenced landscapes. Haida villages were situated along shore areas as was much gathering and fishing. There was gathering in forest interiors, particularly western red cedar, *Thuja plicata*. Much of the berry gathering of *Vaccinium* spp., *Rubus* spp., *Ribes* spp. and *Viburnum* sp. was in culturally modified edges and gaps in landscapes dominated by forest.

Since the 1950s, clearcut logging had taken place on the north Pacific coast of North America and the size of cuts and the speed of old-growth removal increased progressively. Concerns for the protection of the terrestrial and marine environments of the Queen Charlotte islands, and of the biological resources of particular interest to the Haida, have intensified since the early 1970s. The Burnaby Island area is now part of a large terrestrial and marine, “National Park Reserve” which will eventually be managed by the Haida.

Given that clearcut logging is no longer a possibility in the South Moresby, many of the problems of the fragmentation of temperate forests (Harris, 1985) are no longer threats. However, non-consumptive recreation, especially in relatively small shore strips with rare species (Ingram, 1989), along with additional species introductions (Vitousek, 1988) could be devastating. The fencing of mature forest may be necessary to exclude the introduced deer and to therefore maintain fragments of forest with an intact shrub layer and fuller assemblages of fruit-producing plants — the temperate equivalents of “keystone mutualists.”

Comparisons of the islands in terms of the variables of the model

1. **Island size**

Of the three examples, Burnaby Island is the smallest and with the least diversity of overall species numbers but while Siberut is larger than Ferguson Island (Figure 12) there is not yet enough inventory data to confirm a species/area relationship. Ferguson Island may well have more species due to its dramatic elevational gradients along with a range of factors related to diversity of climatic, micro-climatic and landform types. Even though Ferguson is the largest island, it may well be the most vulnerable to fragmentation if Diamond’s postulated saturation model is true. But in contrast, many of the habitat isolates on Burnaby Island are relatively small, as a function of landform and climate, and because of this, some populations of plants and animals appear to be especially vulnerable to any loss of area or alteration of habitat.
Figure 12: Comparison of the scales of the 3 islands
2. Isolation, rates of colonization and island age

Of the three islands, within their respective archipelagoes, Siberut is the most isolated spatially but the waters separating the island from Sipora to the south and Sumatra to the northeast are relatively shallow. All these islands have been part of larger island land masses at various points even as recently as the late Pleistocene. The ages of these larger land masses may be an important variable but are not well-determined for Fergusson and Burnaby Island.

Spatial and temporal patterns of colonization may be key variables especially for areas that have been glaciated or which underwent major ecological changes in the Pleistocene or more recently. Age and colonization in this sense suggest that Siberut is so biologically rich because its environments have been relatively stable for the longest time while having relatively secure sources for colonization. But whether the species associated with this relative stability would be less able to survive in fragmented forest than the colonizers of formerly glaciated areas or dry forest and grassland is debatable.

3. Terrain and other physical parameters

More mountainous terrain involves increased variation in terms of landform, climate, micro-climate and soils — and this usually manifests in different types of vegetation and communities. All of these factors increase environmental heterogeneity and barriers which complicate the fragmentation equation.

Terrain factors which support narrow bands of habitat types may well increase the vulnerability of an island ecosystem to fragmentation. Thus the complexity of the post-glacial landforms of Burnaby Island makes its depauperate ecosystems particularly prone to species loss from fragmentation and so too are the bands of distinct habitat types around the mountains of Fergusson Island. But even relatively flat areas such as Siberut have biota associated with landforms such as heavily incised tropical streams and bands of various edaphic and hydrologically related communities (Whitten, 1982). This suggests that relative vulnerability, as related to landform, is a function of the areas and widths of habitat types across larger mosaics.

4. Ecosystem structure

Ecosystems that are relatively low in productivity, such as those at higher latitudes and elevation, are particularly vulnerable where rapid regrowth and turnover are necessary to maintain certain habitat features and key portions of distributions. This is the case where the introduced deer are destroying the shrub layer in the slow-growing forests of Burnaby Island. This in turn becomes important in the dynamics of isolation for species in disturbed areas.

The various ecosystems on these islands are fragmented differently and involve divergent responses to disturbance. This is clearly the case between primary rainforest, dry seasonal forest, beach communities and coral reefs. Many of the ecosystems of Fergusson Island are pro-
bably not as vulnerable to broad-scale disturbance and isolation factors as are the more interior forest dependant species on Siberut but the size of overall habitat units on Fergusson, with its wide environmental gradients, are more vulnerable because they are often relatively smaller in area.

Responses to fragmentation, as manifested in the size, spatial extent and densities of particular populations, are in part a reflection of the pre-disturbance extent of homogeneity and isolation of patches. Coral reefs and dry forests both tend to support species which are more adapted to such patchiness though the same may be argued for primary forest - but at finer scales. Perhaps the determinants involve patch size and scale of the new disturbance with an added dimension of time.

5. **Pre-human disturbance regimens**

There are fine lines between ecosystem processes, cyclical disturbance, and stochastic events. There are some relative scale and time factors that suggest that for every species and habitat unit, there is a narrow amplitude within which local persistence is not jeopardized. Burnaby Island has a relatively high frequency of landslides and mass wasting. The disturbance associated with logging and road building might have easily lead to a higher magnitude of habitat loss and instability which could have triggered the loss of certain species of anadromous fish such as salmon, *Oncorhynchus* spp. from some streams.

An analysis of vulnerability to fragmentation must consider the relative severity of natural disturbance processes in terms of succession, scale and time. Within this analytical framework, the selective logging on Siberut is a far less alien regimen of disturbance than the efforts to convert primary rainforest to pasture on Fergusson Island.

6. **Patterns of endemism, rarity and intra-specific variation**

Species which are rare, which have low densities or which are limited to a small number of sites are particularly vulnerable to fragmentation. Respective populations can be easily depressed and further isolated. An area with a greater portion of the total number of respective species with these vulnerable characteristics is, as is the case with the two tropical examples, probably going to be more vulnerable to loss of a larger number of species from fragmentation.

The patchiness of many patterns of endemism suggests that vulnerability to fragmentation may be more a function of site-specific disturbance factors. This is also the case with areas low in diversity such as Burnaby Island where most of the less common plant and vertebrate species have very site-specific requirements and if these relatively small areas are disturbed, such as through camping associated with kayaking, a significant portion of the rarer biota could disappear locally. The vulnerability to fragmentation, as related to rarity and endemism, may be more the function of the interaction between particular distributions and densities and intensities of the spatial and temporal distribution of human-induced disturbance.

Both our understandings of endemism and intra-specific variation are very much the products of our knowledge bases and the social resources
allocated to biological inventorying. In virtually every instance, even in low
diversity situations, more inventory efforts have yielded information that
has suggested the presence of previously less-known species some of which
are vulnerable to certain fragmentation-inducing factors. The spatially
related vulnerability of non-vascular plants and invertebrates, as well as
infra-specific variation within even some of the more common species,
may further confirm the axiom that the finer-scaled is the spatial and taxo-
nomic information, the greater is the extent of the cognizance of vulnera-
bility to fragmentation. In addition, the species of the humid tropics and
coral reef ecosystems, that are highly limited in their distributions, are
particularly at risk even from relatively low-intensity disturbance.

7. Requirements of vulnerable organisms and the viability of particular
populations
Species become extirpated or go extinct when key habitat features are
lost, internal population factors induce declines at the time of loss of habitat
and when there is unsustainable predation or competition. Species that
have highly site-specific requirements, ecological amplitudes or which
already exist close to a margin below which resilience or fitness cannot
be assured, whether in tropical or temperate ecosystems, are particularly
vulnerable to regional environmental change. Particular environments, by
their natural histories and the structures of their ecosystems such as partially
recolonized post-glacial islands, are already prone to dis-equilibrium.

In the case of the two tropical islands, the most vulnerable species
may in fact be those species requiring relatively stable and finely hetero-
geneous mosaics of complex ecosystems such as tropical rainforest. The
data compiled on Siberut Island (Ingram, 1989) suggest this.

8. Traditional cultural factors and the potential impacts of particular
technologies
All ecosystems on Earth are now culturally influenced which causes
the intensification or suppression of processes which were previously natural.
This is certainly the case with the temperate island ecosystem which was
probably heavily influenced in the current post-glacial period by various
waves of human immigration (Fladmark, 1975). Oddly enough, Siberut
may well have not supported regular human populations until the last
several thousand years.

The cultural landscapes of these islands are as much products of
the instability of patterns of local settlement and resource exploitation
as of more prolonged human-ecosystem interactions. For most species,
the cultural landscape template has simply added an additional layer of
complexity – especially for organisms that are edge-dependent or oppor-
tunistic. By contrast, the prospects of the more stability-dependent species
with complex requirements are usually jeopardized.

Cultural factors are usually a negative force for local biological diver-
sity even in the societies which are relatively stable with sustained patterns
of exploitation. But the margins of erosion of local biological diversity
vary markedly as related to patterns of human occupation, agriculture and
land utilization. For example, in comparisons between the two tropical study areas, the Mentawai tend to exploit a wider spectrum of plant and animal species while the impacts of the peoples of Ferguson Island are more severe and focused on lowland areas of swidden agriculture, which because of warfare, were relatively undisturbed until a century ago.

The technologies of both indigenous and globally oriented societies along with more cumulative impacts of fragmentation can be devastating for large portions of local biota. Even an introduced species can be considered as a form of technology and is, as in the case of Burnaby Island, creating a new form of fragmentation as based on the small number of unbrowsed areas on the tops of fallen trees. Along with new kinds of micro-fragmentation, technologies such as guns can virtually destroy populations even where large tracts of viable natural habitat are reserved.

The new technologies to over-exploit marine resources are having a comparable kind of fragmentation-inducing influences in shallow coastal areas. In addition, without adequate assessment and education, the more benign technologies associated with eco-tourism, as we see with the kayakers of Burnaby Island, still hold the potential of being devastating to vulnerable organisms.

Implications to making land use planning frameworks more ecologically oriented

Better frameworks for the modelling of the impacts of fragmentation will aid in the conservation of biological diversity on islands with rainforest and adjacent marine areas. Ecological guidelines (MacEachern and Towle 1974; Poore 1974; Bakus 1982/1983; Salm 1984) for general development planning can be tailored for particular archipelagoes.

More than just for providing general guidelines, such a framework for studying islands lays a powerful framework for environmental impact analysis (Soemarwoto, 1989) of valuation, use and conservation of the biological resources of the world’s remaining islands with large tracts of primary forest particularly in Indonesia, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines and other parts of the Pacific Rim.

Within such a framework for the analysis of vulnerability to fragmentation, various hypotheses can be tested and projections can be made and revised with on-going monitoring. Such assessments can be undertaken at various points in land use planning and management and the decisions that are made should reflect the limited level of information that is currently available on local ecosystems, species compositions and population dynamics.

In areas that are particularly rich, biologically, and important as wildlands such as these three islands, a deferral approach to planning both extractive and non-consumptive activities is necessary. Less understood and potentially fragmentation-inducing activities should only be allowed after measures to minimize their impacts on vulnerable species could be implemented. Only with research which is site-specific and protracted can the cumulative impacts of an array of potentially manageable fragmentation processes be understood and these crude models can provide the basis for
both protected area design and management and the corresponding regulation of adjacent land use activities.
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