

Gordon Brent INGRAM Ph.D.
The University of British Columbia
Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper for
The Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada
June 1995

Implications of the Convention on Biological Diversity for institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Table of contents

Summary policy discussion	summary page 1
Introduction	page 1
1 ■ <u>The Convention on Biological Diversity</u>	page 3
The construction of the <i>Convention on Biological Diversity</i> as an international instrument	
Biodiversity, indigenous societies, and plant genetic resources	
Implications of the <i>Convention on Biological Diversity</i> for government policy in Indonesia and Malaysia	
Traditional knowledge, plant genetic resources, and habitat conservation: Constructing postcolonial exchanges	
2 ■ <u>Case study: Siberut Island, Indonesia</u>	page 11
The environment of the Mentawai Islands and Siberut	
The cultural ecology of the Siberut Mentawai	
Land use planning and the Indonesian state	
Field observations with implications of Mentawai participation in the Convention	
3 ■ <u>Policy implications</u>	page 24
Adaptive systems of traditional knowledge and transmission of information	
Implications for Canadian government policy	
Recommendations for Canadian agencies and non-governmental organizations	
Conclusions: Prospects of local direction of new programmes in Indonesia and Malaysia under the <i>Convention on Biological Diversity</i>	page 29
Acknowledgements	page 29
References	page 31
Notes	page 44

Gordon Brent INGRAM Ph.D.
The University of British Columbia
Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper for
The Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada
June 1995

Implications of the Convention on Biological Diversity for institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Summary policy discussion

This paper is an exploration of some new opportunities for conservation of "biodiversity," and the natural ecosystems that sustain it, with the advent of the 1992 Convention on Biological Diversity. The central question in this paper is that of how can limited Canadian aid funds be best directed for implementation of the Convention in the more remote parts of Indonesia and Malaysia, areas with some of the most rich and vulnerable arrays of biological resources on earth, and where indigenous communities are often at odds with central governments? I am particularly concerned with the impacts of international initiatives on marginalized cultures and communities in the Pacific Rim and the implications for a range of international conservation policies especially those involving bilateral relationships with Canada.

Underlying this discussion are some rhetorical questions in development studies that will have an increasing impact on Canadian foreign policy. Have any authentic postcolonial frameworks emerged, so far, for the conservation of rainforest and genetic resources and for the protection of associated traditional communities? Is there now a basis for intellectual property and biodiversity prospecting that is not neocolonial? Is establishment of isolated zones of indigenous communities only contributing to types of extractive reserves, in this case for genetic resources and traditional information, where cultures are "mined" and where there are only weak possibilities of these communities inacting new strategies for cultural survival? Should and can knowledge of the properties of plants be "owned" by local, traditional groups rather than by national governments? This has already been the case for a long time but this still has not been recognized by most state frameworks. Is there a practical basis, under international legal frameworks, for traditional groups claiming forms of royalties and patents over the genes of traditionally utilized species that are either wild and managed or partially or fully domesticated? What are the possible international instruments for bringing income generated through biotechnology, from genes derived from wild populations, back to those same communities and to locally oriented programmes of conservation and social

development? What will motivate traditional healers and land managers to want to participate in any new postcolonial frameworks that link conservation of traditional knowledge and natural habitat with reassertion of local control over traditional lands and biological resources?

I will begin this discussion with an examination of the divergent pressures and perspectives that went into the formulation of the Convention and its initial signing at the 1992 United Nations Conference on Development and Environment in Rio de Janeiro. The implications of the Convention to both biological resource-rich Indonesia and Malaysia and biological resource-poor Canada, all initial participants in the Convention in contrast to the United States, are outlined. I review emerging legal notions of intellectual property for traditional knowledge of tribal communities. I explore the prospects of authentically post-colonial forms of transaction around transfer of genetic resources, traditional information, and habitat conservation. I look at the opportunities provided by as well as the respective limitations of the "extractivism" movement where forests are maintained, with relatively intact canopies, while there is "sustainable" gathering of compounds for manufacturing of products by Northern corporations such as The Body Shop.

The previous questions about the relevance of the Convention on Biological Diversity are explored for the traditional communities and healers, the sekerei, of the island of Siberut in the Mentawai archipelago of west Sumatra, Indonesia. The conservation efforts for the rainforest and coral reefs of this island in the Indian Ocean, as well as for the survival of traditional Mentawai communities and cultural ecology, are assessed as are prospects of the sekerei harnessing the limited opportunities provided by the Convention.

Having suggested, in the onset of this discussion, that much of the Convention on Biological Diversity is probably misdirected for traditional communities at least for the realities, of the Indonesian and Malaysian hinterlands, there are a number of Canadian government-funded initiatives that might enhance the positions of local groups like the Mentawai in their own efforts to conserve their own knowledge base and the biological resources of their archipelago. This paper considers four programme initiatives that could involve both the Canadian government agencies and non-governmental organizations.

- A small Canadian government office could be established to foster biodiversity conservation in Malaysia and Indonesia.
- Canadian assistance in biodiversity conservation could emphasize empowerment of

tribal minority communities in the Indonesian and Malaysian hinterlands.

- Canadian assistance could emphasize long-term linkages between specific hinterland communities and bioregions and particular Canadian institutions including universities, research centres, and non-governmental organizations.
- To balance the emphasis on benefits to indigenous hinterland communities, some Canadian funds could also go to educational and museum projects, in Malaysia and Indonesia, in urban areas on national efforts for biodiversity conservation.

Introduction

This paper is an exploration of the policy dimensions of some new opportunities for conservation of "biological diversity,"ⁱ and the rainforest and island ecosystems that sustain it, with the advent of the 1992 *Convention on Biological Diversity* (UNEP 1992). **The central question in this paper is that of how can limited Canadian aid funds be best directed for implementation of the Convention in the more remote parts of Indonesia and Malaysia, areas with some of the most rich and vulnerable array of biological resources on earth, and where indigenous communities are often at odds with central governments?** In my theoretical framework, I am particularly concerned with "marginality"ⁱⁱ in the Pacific Rim, including the more remote parts of Indonesia and Malaysia, and the implications for a range of international conservation policies especially those potentially involving bilateral relationships with Canada.

In the case study, I delve into some of the possible relationships between the work of the traditional healers, the *sekerei*ⁱⁱⁱ, of the island of Siberut, in the province of Sumatera Barat, West Sumatra, in Indonesia, and the various initiatives now possible with the advent of the *Convention on Biological Diversity*. I embed this discussion in an emphatically postcolonial narrative, one that is critical of the apparatuses of the (neocolonial) State. I intend to critique the contemporary notions of "traditional knowledge" (Brush 1993) and biological diversity from the perspective of what little I know about Siberut and the *sekerei*. I use these speculations to argue for more critical and site-specific approaches to support indigenous communities in taking control of their biological resources and knowledge bases, ones that are in opposition to the "top down" (Gray 1991, page 73) approaches that dominated the latter phases of the development of the text of the Convention. This vision of local control is already an ideal that is supported by Canadian aid agencies but is one that has largely remained in the realm of public relations, particularly related to respective Embassy and High Commission involvements in Indonesia and Malaysia.

The opportunities, obstacles, and constraints for harnessing the *Convention on Biological Diversity*, for expanded conservation of primary tropical rain forest, can be well-illustrated by a discussion from the hinterlandsⁱⁱⁱ of these Malay-speaking states. The remaining traditional societies, there, are very much "minorities" and are marginalized in the national and provincial / state governments. These traditional societies often still modify their relatively "natural" landscapes and exert ownership over particular tracts, sites, and biological resources. The traditional knowledge of these cultures will be crucial to effective conservation strategies in the coming years. Yet the Convention is curiously vague about mechanisms to direct international resources to these groups. The Convention emphasize the redirection of resources to the nation states of the South. And few places better illustrate the often absurd disparities involved in attempting to use the Convention under the rubric of capital city-based organizations, whether government or non-government, than Siberut.

I have been to the island of Siberut several times, researched it as a case study in my 1989 dissertation in environmental planning (Ingram 1989), and have subsequently written on its conservation status.^{iv} I continue to try to track the status of the island's traditional peoples, biological resources, and rain forest and marine ecosystems. But I have only had peripheral contact with the *sekerei*. My work around Siberut has been an environmental planner and not as an ethnographer. I do not speak any Mentawai dialects and communicate through *bahasa Indonesia* the language of trade and tour guides. What I can confirm has come from incidental experiences to my work for conservation of the island's natural ecosystems and biological resources. I suppose that the *sekerei* have viewed me as just another tourist researcher. At times, they have been very kind and have taught me a few things. In this conservation research, I have had to "come out" as something of a global "consumer," from another part of the Pacific Rim^v, with my own investments

and priorities in the maintenance and enjoyment of certain biological resources and related biosphere processes. I like intact tropical rainforest but pay few of the prices of "lost opportunities" if it is not converted to wood and pulp for the expanding industries of the Pacific Rim.

An underlying argument in this report is that the natural ecosystems and traditional cultures of Siberut are being increasingly valued, in both local and global terms, as "information reserves" rather than as areas of sustainable "extraction"^{vi} of raw forest materials. This latter strategy for rainforest conservation has become prominent but highly controversial in recent years. But without a clear framework for intellectual property (The Crucible Group 1994), for exchange of information one that contributes to local control and management of respective environments and resources, the current version of the Convention can have limited benefit.^{vii} In other words, the Convention is unworkably vague about the transfer of local knowledge to the state without recognizing the intellectual property rights of respective traditional communities. Already, there has been some ethnobiological "prospecting" and now there is cultural tourism. The reduction of the economic opportunities of the traditional Mentawai to the export of a few natural products, such as rattan, or as irregularly employed tour guides and cooks, will not solve these structural problems of underdevelopment. In fact, these responses to economic marginalization, even when under the rubric of "eco-tourism," will probably only exacerbate underdevelopment. The *Convention on Biological Diversity*, alone, will not keep these local cultures from being further "disempowered" both by the Indonesian state and increasingly globalized market place. In response, I explore alternative frameworks for exchange of traditional information and genetic resources and have been particularly influenced by Joe Vogel's 1994 book *Genes For Sale* and his concept of "genesteading" by local communities.^{viii}

The following is the progression of this policy discussion. After reviewing the emergence of the Convention, and the participation in its development by the Republic of Indonesia (RI) and the Federation of Malaysia (FoM), I explore the prospects of postcolonial transactions around biological resources, and respective traditional knowledge, where recognition of the labour for maintaining cultural relationships and knowledge bases, *in situ*, is fully integrated into frameworks for germplasm procurement, information exchange, and land management. The natural and social environments of Siberut are outlined as well as some of the plant genetic resources of local and global significance. The land use planning frameworks imposed since the beginning of the European colonial period are outlined as well as the subsequent intrusions of logging and tourism. The current demands^{ix} for genetic resources and information are considered. The contradictory roles of both the *Convention on Biological Diversity* and the State, in this case the RI and the province of Sumatera Barat, in conservation are explored. In ending the discussion of Siberut, I look at ways that the *sekerei* might want to participate in information transfers and begin to actively mediate competing global forces. In the third part of this report, I explore the prospects of Canadian government support for locally controlled initiatives in order to implement the Convention. An expanded basis for building frameworks of information exchange controlled by local experts is explored. Some underlying requirements between conservation of knowledge and natural and cultural ecosystems are considered. I then examine the current Canadian involvements in the Convention and make some specific recommendations for program development.



1 ■ *The Convention on Biological Diversity*

In this section of the discussion, I outline the history and content of the Convention. The initial implications of the Convention, for Indonesian and Malaysian governmental policy and traditional communities and their knowledge bases and landscapes are considered. I then relate the initial globalizing tendencies of European colonialism in the gradual devaluation and export of both this information and select material from respective "natural" environments, nearly always at the expense of the health, prosperity, and autonomy of respective traditional and localized minority communities.

The construction of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* as an international instrument

The political genesis of the Convention goes back at least to the November 1983 Rome General Assembly of the Food and Agricultural Organization.^x In a particularly charged meeting, a notion was successfully articulated, by the Canadian activist Patrick Mooney, that the biotechnology industries of the North were importing the genetic resources of the South as part of a process to patent genetically altered agricultural material to then be sold back to the South at high prices. Somewhere in the equation was inserted vague concerns for all biological resources and their conservation both *in situ*, in protected areas (World Conservation Monitoring Centre 1992),^{xi} and *ex situ*, in various forms of gene banks. IUCN's^{xii} Environmental Law Centre, under the leadership of Cyril De Klemm (1985), was quick to move with a number of discussions and initial drafts of possible Conventions. In the same period, there were efforts to articulate the notion of biological diversity, and how its conservation can contribute to social development, for both the realities of rural life in the Third World (Argawal 1984, Quiroz 1994, Ingram 1994a, Rajasekaran and Warren 1994) and the affluent North.

The perception of the value of an international instrument was based, in large part, on the notion of the "strategy" that had been articulated by The World Conservation Union, the IUCN, with *The World Conservation Strategy* (IUCN 1980) and the 1982 "Bali Declaration" with its slogan of "parks for sustainable development" (Ingram 1983). These initiatives were based, in part, on the notion of "evolutionary responsibility" (Frankel 1984). Earlier U.S. initiatives were first associated with the *Endangered Species Act* of 1973 and other legislation, a decade later, on the conservation of biological diversity on public lands.^{xiii} At the international level, the notion of a global strategy for biological diversity was first articulated by Unesco in its 1984 Action Plan for Biosphere Reserves and seconded by various aid agencies in the North.^{xiv} The initial form of what became the Convention emerged in several conferences in the late 1980s. But major compromises were made in the final versions (Cooper 1991) particularly related to the proposed mechanisms for funding transfers. In 1990 to 1992, there was something of a Northern biotechnology "backlash" against the central notion of the Convention for a vehicle for redistribution of wealth from biotechnology sales. Shahler (1994, page 235) typifies the conservative and laissez-faire position, championed in the last year of the United States' Bush administration^{xv} when he states that, "*the biotechnology transfer which developing countries brought into the discussion limits the efficacy of the Rio agreement.*"

There were extensive debates on the short and long-term economic impacts (Swanson 1992) of the Convention leading up to the writing of the final draft in early 1992. Most of enforceable provisions on the regulation of biotechnology transfer were dropped only several months before the final version of the Convention was formalized in early 1992.

The Convention became a central topic at the United Nations Conference for Environment and Development (UNCED) in Rio de Janeiro in June 1992.^{xvi} Canada then played a central role in the discussions of implementation strategies subsequent to UNCED.^{xvii} The U.S. later signed the Convention under the Clinton administration. It was another 18 months before the Convention, that was first signed at Rio, was ratified on December 29, 1993 (Pitt 1994).^{xviii} Since then, there have been various often rather abortive international initiatives, such as the underfunded Global Environment Facility (GEF).^{xix} There have been several conferences to develop country studies and national biodiversity conservation strategies. Through the funding of the Asian Development Bank, the Convention has had a direct impact on efforts for greater conservation on Siberut.^{xx}

Biological diversity, indigenous societies, and plant genetic resources

The Convention is an especially historic development because it begins to link questions of biological diversity conservation, indigenous societies, and the transfer of plant genetic resources in the following sections. However, the text is not very clear on these issues.

- Article 7 covers "Identification and Monitoring" of biological resources and emphasizes the need to inventory and track^{xxi} vulnerable elements of biological diversity. The crucial role of traditional knowledge in the location of populations of such species, many of which are poorly known in remote jungle settings like those on Siberut, and its relationship to modern science was not clearly outlined.
- Article 8 is on "In-Situ Conservation" and outlines the need for expanded networks of protected areas "or areas where special measures need to be taken to conserve biological diversity." This suggests that in some cases, the modern forms of parks and other protected areas, that are managed by state bureaucracies, may not always be adequate. Neither the anticolonial critiques of contemporary notions of national parks (Lusigi 1978) nor concepts of protected areas as points of autonomy for traditional societies were not mentioned or suggested.
- Article 12 outlines efforts for "Research and Training" "taking into account the special needs of developing countries" but does not address the resources necessary for management of biological resources by traditional communities.
- Article 15 is on "Access to Genetic Resources" and recognizes "the sovereign rights of States over their natural resources" and emphasises that "access to genetic resources rests with the national governments." There are no provisions for international recognition of traditional ownership nor respective traditional knowledge. In fact, traditional owners do not necessarily have rights to those resources, particularly as related to intellectual property and the use of germplasm in biotechnology development, except through often indifferent or hostile States.
- Article 16 is on "Access to and Transfer of Technology" and outlines a commitment to intellectual property rights. But it does not recognize a basis for traditional groups, outside of the State, asserting rights based on traditional ownership, tenure, or knowledge.
- Article 17 is on "Exchange of Information" and indicates a commitment by States to "facilitate" the exchange of information, including "indigenous and traditional knowledge," for

conservation purposes. But there is no defined legal mechanism to tag this information, and to identify it with its geographic sources, or to fund support for these sources of information. Traditional knowledge, therefore, is still, in effect, viewed as a dying resource that can be mined.

- Article 18 is on "Technical and Scientific Cooperation" and asserts the primacy of "national legislation and policies" including those for regulating collaboration involving "indigenous and traditional technologies." But there are no checks and balances that guarantee rights of local communities especially in the face of increasing regulation as part of intensified state efforts to control of information and genetic resources.
- Article 19 is on "Handling of Biotechnology and Distribution of its Benefits" and outlines a commitment to "equitable" access to genetic material for contracting parties (nation states). But there is not a mechanism for exchange, between hinterland and metropolitan areas of the same countries, and between traditional owners, informants, and procurers.

The Convention does not address traditional tenure or differences between local and global cultures of science nor the nagging obstacles to greater site-specificity in land use decision-making, the technical problems for the emergent field of landscape architecture / planning for biodiversity conservation. It would be easy to dismiss the Convention as irrelevant to the *sekerei* but I think that it will have a major influence on the nature of transactions, adjustment mechanisms and may even limit attempts at postcolonial collaborations, in general, until such time as a transnational convention on traditional knowledge is forged by indigenous communities and nongovernmental organizations.

But there is also still the very compelling argument, articulated by some Third World states, that any superseding of intellectual property rights, to traditional communities by nation states, is a threat to the *geopolitik* of "the South." This argument, one that triumphed as part of the compromise equation that gave birth to the Convention, suggests that it is necessary to centralize control of biological resources, through the state, in order to effectively counter the grip of the biotechnology interests of the developed world. The Convention becomes a means to prop up the competence of these often ailing states, especially in terms of their effectiveness at conservation, rather than in supporting the communities that have been traditionally responsible for the protection of the natural habitats that remain. But this position, regardless of its realism about the monopolistic trends of the biotechnology industries of the North, is still fundamentally neocolonial if not subtly complacent in more indefinite forms of cultural genocide.

Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for government policy in Indonesia and Malaysia

If the Convention does have a tangible impact for improving conservation it will be primarily through being an instrument to assisting the biologically rich but economically underdeveloped South by the biologically poorer and economically developed North. The symmetry of this geopolitical framework was first articulated by the Non-Aligned Nations of which Indonesia was a founding member, and in its first decade, a leading member. In its nearly fifty year history, the RI has become increasingly centralized and totalitarian while maintaining its non-aligned and North-South rhetoric. The Malaysian Federation has had a different history though its governments, too, have often relied on an often simplistic North-South rhetoric.

Since the 1982 Bali Declaration, the RI and FoM have been under increasing international and local pressure to slow the loss of primary rain forest. Environmental management^{xxii} and forest and nature protection has also become an increasingly important component of insistence in bilateral and United Nations / World Bank / Asian Development Bank programmes. But environmental concerns continue to take on oppositional roles in the political landscapes of both countries. In both countries, threats to the dwindling tracts of forests have been compounded by corruption, some confirmed and other based on speculation, involving politicians, their families,^{xxiii} government officials, and companies pressuring for the expansion of licenses for nonrenewable extraction particularly of removal of timber from primary forests. There have been some modest successes in conservation (Erlanger 1989, Holman 1991, Schoenberger 1992, Los Angeles Times 1994) and some rather decided defeats in policy efforts to conserve the regions' primary tropical rainforest, some of the largest remaining tracts on Earth.

Along with the dynamic of the Singapore and Jakarta-based nature of logging operations versus the international character of concerns for conservation of rainforest, are unresolved human rights issues that often overshadow relations between local communities and the Jakarta "New Order" government (Bonner 1988). The human rights record of the RI towards communities in its "outer islands" became better-known after the intensification of government repression of political activists, including a well-recorded massacre at a funeral, in Dili the capital of East Timor in 1991 and 1992. But in Indonesia, few environmental conflicts, so far, have involved definitive and recorded abuses of human rights. However, in the same period, as the current wave of conflict in east Timor exploded, less-repressive Malaysia saw the linking of corporate destruction of primary forests with genocide of traditional peoples in the state of Sarawak (Hendrix 1990a & b, Wallace 1991, Tefft 1992).

Given the way that animistic religions have been marginalized if not outlawed (Colchester 1986) in both Indonesia's official doctrine, *Pancasila*, and by Malaysia's dominant interpretations of Islam, the use of traditional knowledge as part of attempts at local land management by governments becomes increasingly problematic. It is difficult to obtain and "use" such traditional information if the source culture is under assault. Data "output" in this context is limited. In other words, there are few reasons for traditional informants to "talk" if their communities are threatened. In addition, pressures for more effective nature conservation question both the relatively centralized character of national institutions in Indonesia, such as the PHPA which is part of the Indonesian national Ministry of Forests, and the contrasting Malaysian framework centred on state governments. These oppositional stances can sometimes be linked to the more modest movement for more comprehensive and locally accountable approaches to environmental impact assessment (Soemarwoto 1989).

The most striking characteristic of nature conservation in both countries, is the small number of isolated national parks and reserves. Until recently, peninsular Malaysia had only two major national parks and it took a large national movement to protect the second area, Endau-Rompin, in the states of Johor and Pahang (Davidson 1988).^{xxiv} But in recent years, both local nongovernmental organizations and the RI and FoM governments became genuinely active in biodiversity conservation. There were higher profiles in the development and implementation of the World Heritage Conservation, the ASEAN Heritage Convention, and the Tropical Forests Action Plan. Questions of implementation of expanded programmes in biodiversity emerged with two divergent approaches: a centralized, capital city-based approach and one more decentralized and implemented through non-governmental organizations (Kantor Menteri Negara 1992).

A 1992 document by the Ministry of Forestry, Republic of Indonesia, emphasized expanded central government control in most aspects of improved conservation of biological diversity in

Indonesia. This document emphasized Act No. 5 of 1990 "Conservation of living resources and their ecosystems." It stressed the need for greater comprehensiveness and expanded the concept and list of protected species and emphasized a number of the more bureaucratic categories of protected areas: "sanctuary reserve," "strict nature reserves," "wildlife sanctuary," "biosphere reserve," "nature conservation area," "national park," "grand forest park," and "nature recreation park." The *Biodiversity Action Plan for Indonesia*, developed by the Indonesia Ministry of National Development Planning in 1993, proposed an expanded network of protected areas, outside of the more conventional and sparsely distributed national parks, as well as involvement by a wider range of Indonesian government, education, and research institutes. At the same time, there has been growing involvement of numerous Malaysian and Indonesian non-government organizations in policy issues of conservation, land management, knowledge of traditional cultures, and intellectual property.

Traditional knowledge, plant genetic resources, and habitat conservation: Constructing postcolonial exchanges

In order to construct a vision of postcolonial exchange of intellectual property, and a range of related products and services, I think that it is necessary to deconstruct some of the "myths" that maintain, if not regulate, the current neocolonial relationships. By sidestepping these issues (The Crucible Group 1994, pages 39 to 41), the Convention contributes to aspects of neocolonialism played out in relationships between Third World metropolitan and hinterland groups. These legalist "narratives" are imposed indirectly on the *sekerei* and function to justify disparities from marginalization. Local communities may be more aware of these biases and hidden agendas than outsiders. The following are a progression of three facets of neocolonial mining of traditional knowledge and the associated biological resources.

One of the most successful yet blatantly exploitative uses of traditional knowledge, in recent years, has been in Wade Davis' 1987 book, *The Serpent and the Rainbow*. Davis probably earned in excess of half a million dollars on these escapades with no indications that any of these funds went back to Haiti. The book penetrated some of the veils around "zombiism" and the related use of the properties of the plant, *Datura stramonium*, in the Bizango cult of Haiti. This well-promoted book was later made into an even more exploitative Grade B film of the same name with a more scholarly account in another book (Davis 1988). The relationships for the use of traditional information encoded in his narrative, in his myth, were profoundly colonial and, at the least, counter to support for local control of traditional information.

Plant exploration and collecting as mythic transactions

- The explorer comes to investigate the "problem" with little motivation for personal gain.
- The locals are found to be adapting traditional knowledge and are not coincidentally found to be corrupt.
- The explorer takes information from the corrupt locals, inadvertently attacking, weakening, and even endangering them. This is acceptable because the locals are "bad."
- The explorer, the (typically male) colonial adventurer, escapes the chaos and corruption of the marginalized and finds some kind of moral upper hand through "love" of the European or somewhat Europeanized woman. A cultural reproductive unit is formed. The initial appropriation is completed.
- The prosperity of the colonist / entrepreneur is due to their "goodness" and hard work, from **their**

transformation of the knowledge, rather than on the original theft.

The dynamics of this myth are structured around those of the European(ized) and the "other" and between the educated and the not-so-noble shaman. Yet the confrontation of cultures is oddly obscured. While this mythic narratives justifies virtual theft of traditional knowledge and the removal of selective details from context, this myth also allows for a continued indulgence in the objectification and fetishization of the "primitive" at a time of intensifying critiques of the positioning of most ethnography. In the case of the use of this particular retrogressive myth sequence, the effectiveness of subsequent efforts to support traditional communities are limited if not actually negated.^{xxv}

The initial myth for justification of the theft of knowledge has been augmented in recent decades. The transactions in the upriver sequences of Francis Ford Copolla's film, *Apocalypse Now*, though not directly involving traditional knowledge, provide additional justification for adventures in the jungle and elaborations on the fantasy of the white raj. There are two underlying messages. The first suggests that once the barriers of "difference" are broached the "modern" adventurer or plant explorer will be confronted with such unspeakable inhumanities in the "Native" (who has the plant resources) that any form of viable local autonomy is impossible. Secondly, any forms of local autonomy, based on local perspectives, and that are selective in their use of modern and Western technologies and approaches will be doomed to corruption. This suggests that "Natives" cannot adapt without being corrupted to the point where they no longer have the right to possess their own cultures. The function of this myth is to further justify the appropriation of knowledge from people who are deemed no longer worthy of possessing it.

A third set of myths that justify the taking of traditional knowledge, without full negotiation of exchange, is Carlos Castaneda's 1970 *The Teachings of Don Juan* and the many subsequent books in that series. While being totally discredited as ethnography or "truth," the success of the books suggests that they functioned to give a large group of people what they wanted. In order to escape the sins of (continuing) (neo)colonialism, the appropriation of traditional knowledge of plants is personalized. The narrator holds the personal right to transfer information, and profit handsomely from it, because he has been "chosen" by the traditional healer / shaman / witch. There is a *de facto* transfer of information and prerogatives akin to the buying of aristocratic titles by the nineteenth century bourgeoisie. The theft in these types of transactions are more insidious and involve a transition to a postmodernism of false cultural specificity. In other words, without considerable knowledge of a specific community it would be difficult to identify the neocolonialism; the nature of the polite theft of information. This third type of transaction is also difficult to identify in that in their efforts for survival and success, traditional healers may well choose to share their information, on their own terms and without any *naivete*, if only for the money.

Whatever we conceive and negotiate as postcolonial transactions around traditional knowledge and respective environments will be in the shadows of these dismal dreams. Conceiving new forms of information exchanges, new forms of safeguards for localized cultures, will require the inversion and reconstruction of these sequences.

Obfuscation of site as characteristic of neocolonial transactions

A central characteristic of neocolonial transfer of information from traditional cultural frameworks is the obscuring of the totality of environment and site. The major problem with the commodification of traditional knowledge is that there is too much of it and few potential consumers have the patience to want to "use" it. The site-specificity, in times of dwindling territories, is

essentially suppressed. There are reductions of complex sequences of information embedded in complex cognitive maps to manageable "thought bytes" of "raw" material. This also aids the obscuring of the sources of the information and the myth of its transformation by the explorer. The advantage of scientific reductionism, in this context, is that it strips down the information to a form that can be used for direct manipulation and commodification. Too much information, especially that which would counter the objectives of resource exploitation, would get in the way. Much of this excess information is about territory and community - particularly useful for the survival of respective cultures.

Traditional narratives, such as those involving knowledge of the properties of wild plants, are nearly always tied to concerns for land^{xxvi} and communal autonomy. Lineages relate to sites. Myths describe complex and cumulative situations and alliances. Narratives are often spatial and environmental. Plants can be related to the health of individuals, more collective experiences, natural cycles - and now to coping with intrusions, social change, and environmental degradation. In reducing complex communal experience to manageable "traditional knowledge," a key divergence in transcription and interpretation emerges. Where the transmission of the information is focused on improving the prospects for survival and autonomy of traditional cultures, the narrative will contribute to the construction of new local cognitive maps regardless of how 'pure' or 'pre-contact' is the content. The 'informant' will be relaying the information on their own terms, ones that are grounded in their own survival and prosperity, and which therefore have spatial and environmental dimensions, rather than being forced to reduce and convolute content to the demands of the ethnographer / scientist / interrogator. Too often in such neocolonial transactions, the bits and pieces - the fragments of knowledge that are received and recorded, are recombined in ways that can actually jeopardize the position of the communities of informants.

Extractive reserves for the extraction of natural forest products on a sustainable basis are still largely theoretical spaces. Such zones can be defined in various disparate ways. In the case of primary forest, some of the canopy can be maintained. For indigenous groups, badly needed cash can be made through adapting their subsistence patterns and technologies. For displaced peasants, livelihood can be obtained from maintenance of aspects of natural ecosystems in contrast to through destruction of regional environments. The questions of cultural and site-specificity in the management of extractive reserves suggests a direct link to the traditional information that usually overlaps such mythic territories - if any of that knowledge base is still in existence.

The extraction of information versus the extraction of raw material

With extractive reserves, there has been something of a false dichotomy between the transfer of raw materials and the transmission of information. But there is a continuum with a number of intersecting processes related to intrusion of outside institutions and subsequent loss of traditional culture, assimilation, and the shift from subsistence to waged livelihoods. The extractive reserves of Amazonia have largely involved displaced indigenous or mixed peasant populations organized into cooperatives or unions of workers. The knowledge base in maintaining, locating, and procuring the natural forest products is relatively modest and of a recent nature. The "use" of extractive reserves as part of strategies to slow the conversion of primary forest is part of a "last ditch" effort to counter the intensive intrusion of globalized capital. But it remains to be seen whether extractive reserves can become bastions for ecosystem and locally based management of land and natural resources and for a broader range of natural products with value-added components.

In areas with more autonomous traditional societies and relatively intact knowledge bases, reserves for the "extraction" or rather transmission of traditional information are more appropriate

than for the removal of natural products. The prices paid for natural products can be relatively low forcing gatherers to work long hours at the expense of traditional social responsibilities and local culture expression. The knowledge base for traditionally used plants, for example, can decline simply from the overwork of gathering with less opportunities for learning and exchange. The transmission of this information can also be diminished with the decline of traditional institutions because the working for wages takes on the central position in people's lives. I argue that the value of the dwindling traditional information on wild species with genetic resources is increasing rapidly, in globalizing (Caldwell 1991) networks, while the prospects of adequate income for gathering forest products for export, with the fluctuating world markets, is, at best, precarious. This has particularly been the case for the remaining traditional communities of Siberut, in recent decades, with the gathering of rattan. My argument, here, parallels the one for the shift to post-industrial, service-based economies. The emphasis in market growth and accumulation of new wealth, in the global market place, has shifted to services and information from raw resources. In this context, any emphasis on export of raw resources, no matter how "sustainable" is the production and with all of the notion's contradictions (Redclift 1987), runs the risk of contributing to loss of key information and subsequent underdevelopment. Of course, if the indigenous communities of an area have already been obliterated or scattered and there are a lot of hungry, displaced peasants, such a raw resource export base is a reasonable last line of defense against loss of whatever remains of local primary rainforest. In situations like the more remote parts of Siberut, transmission of traditional knowledge is still potentially more lucrative for local institutions than a few individuals working for seasonal wages.

Reserves for traditional information and culture could begin to seem like the territories of native peoples in Huxley's novel from between the world wars, *Brave New World*. Where I live, in British Columbia, we have "Indian reserves," "mineral reserves," and "ecological reserves" - all with colonial and neocolonial apparatuses still very much in place and all tending to obliterate both the histories of the broader regional contexts and the specificity of particular indigenous histories over places. An "extractive" reserve for information could involve the export of forest material but it would be primarily germplasm for subsequent production of products to be established on disturbed lands, *ex situ*. There could be what Vogel (1994) has conceived as "genesteading" where money from biotechnology enterprises is channelled back to local conservation and, in particular, to the germplasm procurers / ecosystem managers / forest guardians. There might well be infrequent export of nonreproducible products but more in ways that enhance the position of local institutions.

What differentiates reserves for information from those for raw material export is an economic structure dominated by the exchange, compilation, review, revision, and external transmission. The valuation, of knowledge about the local environment, biophysical, social, and cultural, is a reflection of the linkages between the traditional narrative of those resources within the community and current efforts for adaptation and autonomy. This has more similarities to genetic resources preserves as postindustrial "gardens" of *in situ* conservation of genetic resources (Ingram 1987) - though ones managed by local communities. Such genetic reserves now have key roles for expansion of biotechnology with similar functions to those of the great botanical gardens such as Kew (Brockway 1979) in the establishment of the colonial plantations.

An extractive reserve for traditional knowledge and genetic resources should be first based on customary patterns of livelihood and accumulation of wealth. Locally management museums and various research programmes would become pillars for the apparatuses for exchange. The work of such nongovernmental organizations could involving both locals and outsiders and would focus more on documentation, compilation, and control of the information than on export of raw material. Such indigenous resource and protected areas could continue to be what Annie Zetco York (et al.

1993) referred to as "universities" for respective locally based cultures. Subsequent knowledge bases could be oriented, first and foremost, to satisfying a range of local needs and in solving problems rather than on being just natural resources repackaged for use elsewhere. Such territories would provide the basis for obtaining incomes from royalties, patents, and related products. But knowledge even more than germplasm, and more than renewable forest products, is difficult to control and to channel by central governments in comparison to the short-term wealth generated by renewal extraction and destruction of complex forest ecosystems.

2 ■ Case study: Siberut Island, Indonesia

"Most visitors are impressed, when they visit Siberut, by two things: on the one hand, by the extraordinary harmony with nature achieved by the Mentawaians through the strong customs and taboos which regulated their use of their natural resources; and on the other, by the waste of such human and natural resources through some of the ill-advised and short-sighted activity of both the missionaries and the logging companies. Siberut is an almost classic example of the damage deculturation can do in a generation or two to an animist people, just as the loggers have irretrievably damaged the more accessible forest..."
(Directorate General of Tourism, Jakarta pages 73)

The forests of Indonesia and Malaysia (Aiken et al. 1982, Aiken and Leigh 1992) are currently under tremendous pressure for selective logging and liquidation for agriculture, urbanization, and tourism. It has been extremely difficult for either national governments to slow the loss of forests and often these policy efforts have only been half-hearted and primarily cosmetic (Erlanger 1988). This case study is to illustrate a specific ecological, cultural, historical, and administrative context and to lay the basis for identifying specific opportunities afforded by and obstacles to the *Convention on Biological Diversity*. I provide an ecological overview of the island and its traditional cultural ecology. Some examples of the ethnobiology of the Mentawai and of some genetic resources of global significance are provided. I then outline contemporary environmental impacts and the land use planning apparatuses that have developed for the area under the modern Indonesian state. After this overview, I provide some notes on specific Mentawai experiences and habits that will probably influence the nature of the transactions between local systems of information production and biodiversity conservation and the globalizing net of habitat destruction and consumption of genetic resources and traditional knowledge.

The environment of the Mentawai Islands and Siberut

Traditional knowledge is embedded in narratives on human survival in natural and cultural landscapes. In the remaining hinterlands with primary rainforest, traditional information is key to both "sustainable" utilization of forest products and broader strategies of environmental management. Given the complexities of these ecosystems and landscapes, it is essential to ground policy and political economy discussions in both biophysical and cultural contexts. Yet, the strategies that may work to conserve the biodiversity of Siberut might not work or will work differently in other areas.

The Mentawai Islands lie off the Indian Ocean coast of Sumatra^{xxvii} and the archipelago comprises the southern portion of a larger string of islands along the west coast. The total landmass of the Mentawais is roughly 7,000 square kilometres. Siberut is the largest and most northerly of the four major Mentawai Islands^{xxviii} and is 85 to 135 kilometres from Sumatra. Siberut has an area of 4,480 square kilometres mainly in rainforest. The biota of Siberut can be characterized by an extraordinary combination of both isolation and species richness - a particularly rare combination for island ecosystems. In order to understand the nature of the requirements for cultural and site specificity of the strategies for reserves for traditional information necessary for Siberut, it is necessary to consider some of the major biophysical and landscape processes. The archipelago was formed with the rising of volcanoes on Sumatra with the subsequent formation of a deep underwater trench extending to depths of 1500 meters. The islands are no longer part of the southeast Asian continental shelf.^{xxix} There are only two geomorphological types in the Mentawais: strongly dissected hilly areas and alluvial lowlands (Verstappen 1973).

This archipelago is of a remarkably recent origin. There are some pre-Miocene conglomerates, with schists and quartz, and some uplifted limestone cliffs from the Miocene. But most of the island is made up of young sedimentary shales, silts and marls from the Pliocene, Pleistocene and recent epochs (page 163, van Bemmelen 1949). The island's low-lying hills are all about the same height with the highest being 384 meters. The high rainfall and unstable parent material has led to high erodibility and dissection. Drainage patterns are extremely complex. Slope failures and mass wasting are common in steeper areas. The west coast of the island is straight with sandy beaches. The east coast has tectonic subsidence with coral reefs, bays, islets, capes, mangroves, and in some areas extensive deposits of alluvium. The coral reefs are comparatively undeveloped because of the high levels of suspended sediment. As for soils, Siberut has mainly *red-yellow podsols*, labelled *organic luvisols* in the FAO system (FAO 1979).^{xxx}

The climate of the Mentawais is remarkable in its mildness of temperature variation, both daily and seasonally, and the extreme levels of precipitation. The climate of the Mentawai Islands "is virtually aseasonal" (House 1983) with two slightly wetter seasons in April-May and September-January. The area is the centre of diversity and speciation for a number of tropical rainforest genera. Because of the climatic influences from the Indian Ocean, the islands continued to receive relatively high levels of precipitation in the drying phases of the Pleistocene when many areas in the region could only support drier, more seasonal forest (Meijer 1982). The forests of Siberut were little effected by the Pleistocene crises which desiccated much of the tropics. The following ecosystem types have been documented on the island (WWF Indonesia 1980).

■ **Primary dipterocarp forest** dominated by *Dipterocarpus* spp. and *Shorea* spp. is confined to the hills. The canopy is relatively open with few climbers and epiphytes. The ground level is sparse. There are some palms but the fan and fishtail palms, which are common in Malaysian dipterocarp forests, are absent. There are few big, canopy-reaching rattans and many low, stemless rattans. On the hill ridges, the most common dipterocarps are Myristicaceae, Euphorbiaceae and Sapotaceae. Between the ridges, these groups occur in roughly equal portions.

■ **Primary mixed forest** includes numerous families of trees but none are dominant except where topographic or geological features create specialized habitats. The most common families are Myristicaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Dilleniaceae and Dipterocarpeae. Legumes are rare in contrast to Sumatra. The most common emergents are *Shorea* spp., *Dipterocarpus* spp.,

Dialium spp., *Pentace* spp. and *Durio* spp. There are many woody climbers. Ground vegetation is dense and there are fewer emergents than in dipterocarp forest.

- **Freshwater swamp forest** has a specialized and limited tree flora dominated by *Terminalia phellocarpa*. The ground flora contains feather palms, rattans, pandans, aroids and everwet soil studded with pneumatophoric roots. The most extensive areas of this forest type are on the east coast of the island and much of the remaining tracts are not in pristine condition.
- **Mangrove forest** is only on the shore of the east coast where the sea is shallow. The mangroves are often adjacent to areas with coral reefs. A cross-section of the Siberut mangroves begins with a low fringe with stilt roots, *Rhizophora* spp., which is succeeded by taller trees with buttresses and pneumatophore roots, *Bruguiera* spp., where the substrate is exposed at low time. The estuaries of large rivers are fringed with *Nypa fruticans* which can extend up to 2 kilometres inland.
- **Barringtonia forest** is on the west coast along the sand beach fringe. There is a narrow terrace with stands of *Casuarina equisetifolia* which are usually interspersed with tree-shrub associations such as: *Barringtonia* spp. and *Hibiscus* spp. On headlands with rocks, there are usually large trees such as *Eugenia grandis* and *Calophyllum inophylloide*.
- There are some **cultural landscapes** on Siberut involving on-going gathering and clearing. The areas of intensive horticulture are dominated by banana and taro and can be considered another category of vegetation. These areas are primarily on the edges of freshwater swamps.
- There are three major habitat types in **shallow marine areas**: mangroves, coral reefs and sea-grass beds. There are coral reefs off the east, south and southwest coasts of Siberut as well as the off-shore islands. The reefs off the central part of the east coast may be the most extensive and diverse complex in the Mentawai archipelago (Mitchell 1982).

Si berut,^{xxxix} "the mouse" in a local Mentawai dialect (Loeb 1935), is remarkable in the absence of large Sumatran predators, including the larger predators, and in its level of animal and plant endemism. The WWF-Indonesia (1980) report on the island suggests that some forest types are "unique." Perhaps 15% of the island's plants are endemic. There are more than 27 species of native mammals on Siberut. Of the non-flying mammal species, roughly 80% are either endemic species or subspecies. The Mentawais have the highest levels of primate endemism on Earth (WWF - Indonesia, page 39).

The cultural ecology of the Siberut Mentawai

Any Mentawai transactions around the transfer of genetic resources and information, to global networks, will be adapted from contemporary cultural ecologies which in turn are largely based on more traditional patterns. These frameworks can vary with local cultures and environments as well as to the extent of community "intactness" and empowerment in the face of the expanding presence of the RI.

Mentawai societies are peculiar in the cultural isolation which extended into the Twentieth Century. This exceptional set of circumstances, "enabled the people to retain many cultural

practices once common throughout archaic Indonesia" (Mitchell and Tilson 1986). There was a New Stone Age level of development and an economy based on sago, taro, fishing, pig-raising, and the hunting of primates. Until the last century, there were no food grains, metal, woven cloth, pottery, craft specialists, villages, chiefs, betel nut, tobacco or alcohol. There was a great deal of linguistic variation on Siberut and language units could often be correlated with watersheds.^{xxxii}

The nature of the restraints on land management as part of social organization was remarkable (Schefold 1972). The basic unit of Mentawai society is the *uma* (Schefold 1980): an exogamous, patrilineal (Wallace 1951) and patrilocal clan (Loeb 1928). There is very little organization above the level of the village, *laggi*, though in recent years there has been some linking with clans from other watersheds. Decisions were often made by consensus (McNeely 1979) and ownership within the *uma* remains largely communal.

The Mentawai people have been noted for their system of rituals and taboos which "kept people and forest resources in an equilibrium" (Mitchell and Tilson). The traditional religion of the Mentawai was centered on a belief in "internal harmony in the environment" (Mitchell and Tilson). Hunting, gathering and agriculture require various observances and ceremonies for the maintenance of the balance. Taboos and codified knowledge of local ecosystems and populations contributed to relatively sustainable patterns of natural resource use. There were familial religious observances, *lia*, and essential rituals, *punen*, for a number of activities which could disturb the environment, such as tree cutting and primate hunting, as well as other related taboos, *sekerei*. Ceremonies which involve making peace between *uma* are called *paabat*. Extended religious festivals, involving entire clans, *puliaijat* (Borri 1986), were the focus of seasonal cultural expression. Ceremonies were highly elaborate and involved the sacrifice of domestic animals, the use of offerings of such items as flowers (Brent 1979) and other plant material on *kera* poles, and invocations in order to strike harmony with various species and forces (Loeb 1929).

"the Mentawaiian's supernatural world was a reflected image of their own social conditions and relationships. Souls, spirits and ancestors have equal rights among themselves just as the living people in their settlements: they communicate with each other and could exert mutual influence. Just as a man could convince his fellows only by means of discussion, he could only achieve success in the realm of the supernatural by means of invocation and mediation."
(Schefold 1973)

In such a relatively classless society, there were few religious positions: the *rimata*, the leader of ceremonies, and the "seer" or shaman, the *sekerei*. Much of the local knowledge of plant names, properties, and locales are known by the *sekerei*.

The Dutch military had a minor influence on Mentawai life in the Nineteenth Century. Even the missionaries rarely have ventured beyond the coastal villages of Siberut. Since 1920, the policy of the missions and the government has been to concentrate the Mentawai into villages and to breakdown the *uma* into houses limited to nuclear families. A large portion of the resettled Mentawai do not observe the traditional religion and the indigenous socioreligious structures in these villages has been "largely nonfunctional" (Nooy-Palm 1972) for decades. However, Schefold (1973) documented traditional villages in the south central areas around the village of Sakuddei where resource exploitation and conservation patterns, as well as the associated religious system, continued to be relatively intact (Lindsay 1992).

The missionaries have encouraged a change in land use patterns from one based on subsistence to one based on the production of surplus and cash. There has been a corresponding shift away from the traditional constraints on use of biological resources. The production of rice rather than sago, has required considerably more work which has allowed for less time available for traditional religious observances. The breakdown of the traditional observances required for

environmental interaction, in combination with the ecological disruption associated with commercial logging and expanded agriculture have caused the loss of some components of the self-regulation of local communities. For example, taboos on the hunting of the two larger primates of the island, the gibbon and the pigtailed langur, relaxed by 1970 through missionary influences.

Wild plant species utilized by the traditional Mentawai and of significance as potential extractive species or genetic resources

The following species are examples of some of the biological resources which are key to the Mentawai and of growing significance to the global economy. The examples provided, from this exceptional rich setting, are to begin to illustrate the great diversity and complexity of resources, potential uses, and requirements for their conservation, procurement, and utilization. Due to secrecy, both by the Mentawai and the multinational research and development groups, the many species of medicinal value are not mentioned here though this group probably is of most short-term economic interest.^{xxxiii} The only raw materials that has been exported on a regular basis have been rattan. There are various kinds of genetic resources. There are those in the more immediate parts of the gene pools of the major crops and for the wild species of Siberut these are nearly all tropical trees. There are species that can contribute genes to crop gene pools through various laboratory interventions. They are species that may be introduced into cultivation possibly in the distant future. There are species and ecosystems that while not ever providing germplasm may be resources for the study of adaptive complexes and environmental responses. There are genotypes of traditionally utilized species that have been domesticated, semi-domesticated, or at least managed.

The following are some of the key food species of the traditional Mentawai: the "cabbage" of *ari ribbuk*^{xxxiv}, *Oncosperma horridum* and *O. tigillarum*; the fruits of *bebeget*, *Calamus manan*, the sago palm, *sagaike*, *Metroxylon sagu*^{xxxv} and *M. rumphii*; one or more species of wild citrus called *muntei*; two species of durian, *Durio graveolens* and *roriat*, *D. zibethinus*; jackfruit, *pegu*; four species of mango: *paggu*, *beiloy*, *lemu* and *Iabungan*; and a number of banana species, *suggunei*, *Musa sumatrana* plus another species, *Musa* sp.

As for technology, two plant species, *Derris elliptica* and *Antiaris toxicaria*, are used for making poison arrows. The *sinuba* tree is used for poisoning in fishing. A number of rattans are used: *pelege*, *Calamus javensis*; *bebeget*, *C. manan*; *labi*, *Daemonorops angustifolia*; and *sasa*, *Daemonorops* sp. There are a number of other palms which are important in traditional Mentawai technology: *nappou*, *Nenga pumila*, *Pinanga densiflora*, and *P. coronata*; *saplap*, *Pholidocarpus* sp. aff. *macronatus* and *nipa*, *Nypa fruticans*. There are a number of dipterocarp species used in construction: *jining*; *atarat* for the largest canoes; and *katuka*. One palm, a *Oncospermos* species is relied upon heavily for house construction. Large specimens of *Shorea* spp. trees, probably some of which are listed above by their local names, are used for canoe-making. The following plants are important in various ceremonies (Loeb 1929): species key to the *katsaila*, loral bouquet such as *pola*, *Arenga obtusifolia*; *sikopuk*; one or more species of wild citrus called *muntei*; and *kiniu*, curry berry, *Piper juvenile*. The large structural trees, such as all of the Dipterocarpaceae species (Ashton 1982) are valuable for traditional Mentawai villagers as well as are targets for logging: *Dipterocarpus retusus*; *koka*, *D. warburgii*; *D. hasseltii*; *Vatica lutea*; *V. papuana*; *Shorea leptocladus*; and *koka*, *S. lamellata*.^{xxxvi} There is only one rattan, *Calamus manan*, which has been gathered for furniture making and exported mainly by non-Mentawai.

The following are some of the species with the most widely recognized and immediately marketable crop, timber, and technology genetic resources on Siberut. The following species are significant for the expansion of agriculture in Sumatra and Indonesia: banana, *Musa* spp.; jackfruit,

pegu; two species of durian, *Durio graveolens*, *D. zibethinus*; mango, *Mangifera* spp.; mangosteen, *Garcinia celebica*, *G. nervosa*; *lakoma*, another *Garcinia* sp.; wild citrus: *Luvunga sarmentosa*, *Limnocitrus littoralis*, *Paramignya trimera*, *P. andamanica*, *Atalantia monophylla*; *Pleiospermium sumatranum*; and *Merope angulata*. Virtually all of the *Calamus* spp. and *Daemonorops* spp. have valuable genetic resources for the southeast Asian region for rattan cultivation. The following species are significant for the improvement and expansion of agriculture in other parts of the world: *Musa* spp.; durian, *Durio graveolens*, *D. zibethinus*; *Vaccinium hasselti*, *V. littoreum*, and another *Vaccinium* species; the wild citrus mentioned before, and several wild mango species.

What does such a cornucopia of biological resources mean for both protection and adaption of traditional communities as well as for the implementation of a vague convention? What "works" for some resources and sites may not effective for others. Parks as generic and territorial forms of conservation mean little, unless they are related to fine scales and particularly complexes of organisms whereas actual management, which is inevitably related to presence and political control of traditional communities, will nearly always have central bearings on what is actually preserved and well as that biological resources that can be procured, used, and marketed in biotechnology research and development.

Trends in Mentawai society and culture on Siberut

Siberut is a cultural landscape formed by at least 2,000 years of hunting and fishing, plant gathering, swidden cultivation of taro and bananas, and domestic pigs and chickens. There were three types of traditional Mentawai manipulation of the environment. It was difficult to know whether these had an adverse impact on the survival of some species.^{xxxvii} Most likely, these activities have only expanded the niches which were associated with secondary succession. In the least disturbed parts of the island, which often were the most remote from villages, there was only the cutting of trees for canoes as well as the gathering and hunting of various species. There were areas with moderate disturbance, such as with light clearing associated with the gathering of materials for building construction. There were wider clearings for cultivation of banana, sugar cane, taro, and sago, and extensive alteration around villages referred to in Indonesian in *ladang*.

In 1976, Siberut had a population of 18,149 (WWF-Indonesia). Since then, the population has increased by roughly 3 % per year though rates may be higher in the less traditional villages. There are a number of land use activities which are expanding and which may eventually threaten some elements of the island's biological diversity. Traditional gathering, hunting and agriculture are being squeezed into smaller areas. In their discussion of the status of human-primate relations on Siberut, Mitchell and Tilson attribute the disintegration of the local system of restraint on the hunting to the resettlement of clans, government assaults on traditional culture such as discouragement of long hair on men, tattoos, and the spread of commercial logging.

Expansion of selective logging has produced the most negative changes in habitat in terms of area. In recent decades, infrastructure necessary for logging operations, such as roads, log dumps, and buildings, have produced the most site-specific and intensive impacts. Mangrove cutting has seriously impaired ecological processes in some shore communities. Intensive rattan collecting has altered the understorey composition of primary rain forest. There had been proposals for transmigration settlements (Davis 1988, Sierra Club 1980) for the east coast of the island.^{xxxviii}

Land use planning and the Indonesian state

In order to consider the options available for reserves for nature conservation, extraction of

forests materials, and traditional knowledge, within the context of RI policies and the Convention, it is necessary to assess the current institutional frameworks for land management. Virtually all of these administrations, and respective procedures, are heavily biased against habitat conservation and local land management - particularly by tribal communities and towards control by political forces in national and provincial capitals and their financial allies. Indonesia, as a modern state, has been remarkable in its zeal for planning extending back to the Sukarno years (Humphrey 1962). However, until the late 1970s, there was neither much mention or a framework for the inclusion of concerns for habitat conservation nor the needs and aspirations of traditional communities. Given the rapidly increasing demands which were being placed on Indonesia's natural resources, government policy for conservation is related, directly, to the interests of the apparatuses of the RI and its priorities for the poor and marginalized. Unfortunately, these imperatives have usually only been interpreted in terms of short-term returns and market indicators.

The Dutch declared the Mentawai Islands their colony in 1864, and established a garrison on Siberut in 1904. There was very little contact between Siberut and Java or even Sumatera Barat until after Indonesia independence, *Merdeka*, in 1949. In decisions over the Mentawai Islands, a blend of Javanese (Anderson 1972) and Minangkabau (Abdullah 1972) perspectives have dominated. Neither world views had fostered the kinds of adaptive and locally based management which are ultimately necessary for the conservation of such vulnerable and site-specific biological resources. There are three underlying obstacles to development of effective national programmes of habitat conservation. Firstly, the Javanese view of history has been of recurrent cycles in contrast to the linear progression which dominates Western perspectives. There is little place for the advent of crises unique to particular eras such as the current massive losses of forest and biological resources. Secondly, there is often a deep almost religious obsession with avoidance of confrontation and for national unity, even when it is at the expense of protection of natural habitat. This cultural perspective can be argued, from the standpoint of Westerners, to have stymied efforts for local political control and may have contributed to the formidable "centripetality" (Anderson 1972) in the current high level of control from Jakarta. Thirdly, the refined bargaining and bluffing, so central to negotiations in Java, *perintah halus*, has been largely unworkable where Javanese sensibilities encounter those of the more confrontive *orang asli* of the outer islands.

For the closest neighbours of the Mentawai, the Minangkabau, there has continued to be an expansionist perspective on their own history, verging on manifest destiny, which has been exaggerated with progressive Islamization. With this sense of gradual enlargement, which verges on a sense of divine providence, the Mentawai Islands have been viewed as one of the last frontiers within the province. But these cultural impediments and biases are comparable to those of other resource frontiers in the world and the RI, as a state apparatus, has made far more extensive and authentic gains in inclusive and "multicultural" decision-making in land use than for example my own country, Canada, or other large countries such as the United States, Brazil, and even neighbouring Australia. But the government ideology, *Pancasila* (Morfit 1986), does not address directly conservation nor the distribution of environmental benefits and costs from development. When there have been environmentally related conflicts, they have often been seen in terms of sectoral and intra-governmental competition. The New Order government (MacAndrews 1986a), of the last two decades, has greatly improved the delivery of basic education and social services which may better support the bulk of the population of Indonesia in having more opportunities to discuss and direct the nature and style of environmental regulation as part of integrated development. There have also been moves to delegate more authority to provincial governments (MacAndrews 1986b) which have both positive and negative implications for cultural autonomy and conservation on *Palau Siberut*.

The level of comprehensiveness of land use planning has been very low. There has only been modest RI reliance on land use suitability assessment (Scholz 1983). Consideration of the general ecological impacts of selective logging has often involved more rhetoric than factual analysis. As for assessment of the impacts to vulnerable species, emphasis has been on the primates with little data available for other organisms. In such a context, the notion of "sustainability," in terms of continued timber production and the survival of sensitive species, is problematic and often functions more rhetorically, than as a basis for decision-making over particular sites.^{xxxix}

Commercial logging

The ecosystems, biological resources, and, in deed, the Mentawai are currently under assault from the forces of capital with its pressures for short-term generation of wealth. Large areas have and are being selected logged. These extractive operations have been imposed on the traditional lands of the Siberut Mentawai with little negotiation and only limited compensation. Considerable wild plant resources have been lost either permanently, in the case of roads, or for up to decades in the areas of selective cutting. The logging is a threat to some vulnerable elements of local biodiversity. Much of the timber harvesting so far has been at the southern end of the island. Major concessions began to be granted in 1969, in the period when multinational companies again were being welcomed in Indonesia.^{xi} There have been attempts at commercial logging in the area since 1914, but only in the last 20 years have there been on-going operations.^{xii} By 1980, 4 companies were working on the islands and their concessions included virtually all of the island^{xiii} except for the nature reserve (WWF-Indonesia 1980).

All of the timber exploitation on Siberut has involved selective cutting.^{xliii} These methods were defined by Johns (1985) as a programme of forest exploitation where,

"the removal of mature, over-mature and defective trees in such a manner as to leave uninjured an adequate number and volume of healthy residuals of commercial species and other tree species necessary to assure a future crop of timber and forest cover for the protection of soil and water."

In his review of the effects of logging on hill dipterocarp forests in peninsular Malaysia, ecosystems similar to those in the hills of Siberut, Burgess (1971) noted that the most problematic impacts of selective logging were from road building, with subsequent alteration of drainage patterns and erosion.^{xliv} Logging roads have also made remote interior areas more accessible for subsistence hunting (Tenaza 1987).

The forest land use plan for Sumatera Barat (Pusat Penelitian Tanah, Bogor 1982) is an indication of the extent of the forest and respective cultural disturbance that was planned for the island and of the still present pressures for habitat conversion.^{xlv} A breakdown of land disturbance categories as of 1982 (Mitchell 1982) showed 13% of the total area of the island had been logged with another 1 or 2% was probably disturbed for roads, camps and other aspects of operation. For traditional Mentawai communities, any permanent loss of forest habitat has had negative consequence for food availability and local subsistence. Families have often lost wild tree staples such as durian. Under the Indonesian forestry and land ownership system, individuals on Siberut own land but the Department of Forestry owns the trees. The national forestry agency grants concessions for cutting on communal lands such as these. In the case of Siberut, all but 100,700 hectares of the island were granted in concessions with "almost a total lack of compensation" to local people (Whitten and Sardar 1981). While selective logging does not have to create permanent

damage to rainforest habitat, the reality has been that legislated regulations to protect residual trees in selective logging in southeast Asia have proven to be almost universally ineffective.^{xlvi} The impacts of forest conversion and selective logging on rainforest biota have been a topic of growing interest in southeast Asia. The 1980 *Siberut Conservation Master Plan* (WWF-Indonesia) listed three factors which cause environmental damage. Land use was poorly planned. There was a lack of control of resource utilization. There were negative impacts from the introduction of some new technologies. The timber companies which have been cutting on Siberut have not always respected their concession agreements.^{xlvii} While the price of timber has increased, in recent years, substantially, there were few new efforts to make the operations conform to the environmental regulations.

Dysfunctional frameworks for habitat conservation

For Siberut, much of the enabling legislation and government directives for conservation are federal in nature, while implementation is carried out through provincial agencies based in Padang, the provincial capital of Sumatera Barat. Modern habitat and wildland conservation in Sumatera Barat extends back to 1921 but on Siberut, all conservation activities have essentially taken place in the postcolonial period. The basic law for protection of wild animals has its origin in 1931 Dutch colonial legislation forbidding hunting, killing, capturing and trading of certain species (van Strien 1982). Some legislation was established under the Dutch^{xlviii} but concerns for systematic planning of networks of reserves, as representative of the full range of species and habitats, did not emerge until the early 1970s.^{xlix}

Indonesia's 1982, *Basic Environmental Law, Act No. 4* suggests a social obligation to preserve forest as part of forest management.¹ There have been few indications of any government interest in the conservation of primary rainforest and local biological diversity, outside of the PHPA Directorate, the national bureau for nature conservation within the Ministry of Forests. A number of non-governmental organizations based in the Jakarta area are very concerned about indigenous communities, conservation of primary forest, and more comprehensive and effective environmental management. But Siberut is a great distance from Jakarta. Of the international organizations, the World Wildlife Fund - International (WWF) has had the most extended involvement in Siberut with an agreement with the RI to assist with conservation planning in Indonesia since 1968.^{li} Due to the proposals of two American primatologists, Ronald Tilson and Richard Tenaza^{lii}, the Taitai Batti Nature Reserve, with 6,000 hectares, was established in 1976, and was approved as a Unesco MAB biosphere reserve in 1981.^{liii} But there was a serious overlap in land use planning which allowed logging to continue in large areas of the northern part of these supposedly protected areas into the 1980s.

A Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) - funded project to develop a conservation component to land use planning was carried out in the early 1980s (FAO 1981).^{liv} In the subsequent, 1982-1988 management plan (Whitten et al. 1979, WWF - Indonesia 1980, Mitchell 1982) proposed three categories of use zones:

- *Development Zones* with logging areas, settlements, rattan collecting, areas with the best potential for sustainable logging and agriculture;

- *Traditional Use Zones* with wildlife reserve, *suaka margasatwa*, buffer zone, village forest and strict protection forest; and

- *Nature Reserve Zone* with forest fruit collection for local consumption only and hunting, *ladang* clearings and new villages prohibited.

Since the management plan was approved there has been little implementation, little monitoring and expanded logging. But very little of this proposed protection has been implemented.^{lv} There have been obstacles in both protocol and internal politics. It remains to be seen whether the initial emphases on endemic species and area primates and more recent foci on rainforest, genetic resources, traditionally important plants and animals and coral reefs can actually lead to a broader framework for protection of all of the "biodiversity"^{lvi} of the island.

There has been a tremendous increase in the numbers of (eco)tourists (Book 1990) who wish to have contact with the Mentawai and the forests and marine areas of Siberut. Very little of this activity has been without negative social or ecological impact. But tourism does provide some cash to some groups and there are forms of cultural "exchange" which are less devastating to traditional values and which allow for local adaptations. One positive example of enhanced local autonomy has been of the Sakkudei communities in the south central part of the island - an area too swampy to allow for roads and logging in the near future. Here, the emphasis was on control of access through lands to adventurous young European tourists who are happy to pay more for the thrill of encountering territorially assertive "natives" with poison arrows. But given the cultural disintegration in many of the other Mentawai communities, such enclaves may be doomed to survive more as rarefied amusement parks for the global cognoscenti than as points of cultural renewal. But sometimes there is a basis for negotiation and for local *laggi* to manage visitors bearing new ideas and technologies thus acting as bridges for Mentawai adaptations. A new international project developed through the Asian Development Bank (1992) commenced in 1994 and will enhance the infrastructure for tourism while retaining some Mentawai autonomy in cash generation.

In these landscapes problematized by (neo)colonialism and cultural conflict, there are also serious contradictions between the requirements for science-based decision-making and the quality of the knowledge base. The extraordinary richness of Siberut, indeed one of the most diverse points of combined terrestrial and marine biodiversity on Earth, and the linking of protected area design to a myriad of intellectual property issues is daunting. The sheer numbers of species and the complexity of the ecosystems suggest the need for a far higher level of taxonomic research and inventorying work, which could take many decades, before relatively permanent decisions over particular sites for additional RI-initiated conservation or for short-term economic development could be determined. Given the rapid destruction of the forest and loss of cultural autonomy, the effective use of traditional knowledge becomes central to successful conservation.

The patterns of rarity, disjunctiveness, and endemism across the island have not been fully ascertained. The complexities of the tropical forest structure, successional mosaics, and respective life histories suggest that relatively large tracts of unaltered forest and shallow coastal areas are necessary to support the more vulnerable and rare species. Much of what is known about the biota of Siberut is from Nineteenth and early Twentieth Century expeditions, such as for flora (Ridley 1926) and birds (Riley 1929, Ripley 1944).^{lvii} The more geographically oriented data on biota has come with the recent primate studies (Tenaza and Tilson 1977, 1984, 1985, Tilson 1981, Tilson and Tenaza 1976, 1982, Whitten 1982a). There has been some interest in the island for RI programmes of collection of genetic resources (Sastrapadja et al. 1980) though there have been only tentative efforts to link collecting of plant germplasm with *in situ* conservation.

For the traditional Mentawai, there is tremendous social recognition and valuation of local biological diversity. For the numerous communities that have been displaced and concentrated,

valuation of what has been taken away has had a painful dimension. For the neighboring Minang, recognition of biological resources and natural services is perhaps not so immediate. This is where the classic split between an ecosystem-based and biosphere-based decision-making cultures around land use become apparent. The animism of the traditional Mentawai supported conservation ethics and respective behaviour whereas more secularized ethics along side of Islamic religious fervour have been difficult to maintain. Within this highly charged context, meaningful public discussions on acceptable levels of conservation and development, indeed formulation of province-wide criteria, has been difficult to initiate.

In terms of traditional Mentawai tenure, there has never been sufficient documentation or any recognition by the provincial and RI governments. Village relocations by RI essentially removed people from areas of traditional use and marginalized them economically. In terms of virtually all indicators of economic status and quality of life, the relocated villagers are poorer than their more autonomous contemporaries. The more powerful Mentawai groups are those that have been the least accessible to RI interventions. Within such a hostile context the categories of protected natural areas have come to function as social refuges (Mitchell and Tilson 1986). In the case of Siberut, there are two important "nature reserves" and one has been elevated to the status of a biosphere reserve. But in terms of within Indonesia, and within the international systems of biosphere reserves, there is some of the lowest levels of implementation and real protection. While conservation is an RI responsibility, much of forest land use planning, particularly for harvesting, has been decentralized to provincial capitals.^{lviii}

Field observations with implications of Mentawai participation in the Convention

"On Siberut...the visitor is dependent on the hospitality of the people."
Directorate General of Tourism, page 75

How can we negotiate exchanges of information and technology (Schultes 1991) for lands with traditional communities, extractive reserves, and "protected" areas? It will take a lot of listening from outsiders over extended periods. Based on my limited personal experiences, the following are some of the points for negotiation and possible collaboration with some of the Siberut particularly in the more remote, south central parts of the island, in the watersheds of the Sakkudei people. Each sequence point suggests an opportunity for enhanced negotiation around (cross)cultural difference, a juncture in the normal neocolonial forms of transactions, and an opportunity for innovation and for more authentic collaboration.

- Communal eating in the long house, as part the life of the *uma*, involves some kind of meal or snack about every two hours. There is usually quite a bit of fruit, such as durian and pegu, and the major starch is often baked or roasted sago, *sagaïke*. There is usually plentiful animal protein at least once a day. The diet is rich and people take a great deal of satisfaction in it. There is an awareness of rice and while it is available, most people prefer forest and garden foods. Our hosts taught us several times to say the south central Siberut (adapted Malay) word for delicious, *minannum*. There is so much pride in the local diet that some women have offered to teach about their diet and cuisines.

- In walking in the forests near villages with a group of males, the women tending to stay near the

lodges, a tremendous number of useful plants species are found. They are "monitored" regularly for the best time for their use. I have seen quite a number of wild banana, *Musa* spp., and some other fruit trees such as durian. With the high species diversity in the forest and low numbers for an individual species in sparse densities, each individual or clump of a useful species is recognized and considered for the appropriate future use. These was particularly the case with the large Dipterocarps, the *koka* and *atarat*. The perception of associated landscapes is closely linked to projections of future needs and I had to wonder about the growing instability brought by the logging of traditional Mentawai landscapes of hopes and expectations.

- I find the tobacco addictions of virtually all of the Mentawai guides that I have employed to be particularly vexing. I have conducted plant and biodiversity surveys in many countries and settings and have never been hustled so aggressively for tobacco as on Siberut. I try to keep a sense of humour but to use the current North American vernacular, it "pisses me off." Plans are changed with the weather. Villages are avoided because of interfamily feuds. Changes in itineraries occur with little advanced notice. With the guides, one of the only points of negotiation has been around tobacco. I tend to have to buy my way with tobacco. It gets expensive and heavy. Yet if I could find guides less "strung out," I might have less of a means to negotiate through those very damp and vast forests.
- The saddest experience that I have had on Siberut have been in the villages relocated by the government where traditional *uma* and *laggi* structures have broken down. People are crowded into smaller houses spread over larger areas and local carrying capacity is often breached. As extended families fragment, some groups suffer – particularly women and children when men are absent. In 1989, I saw an adolescent male Kloss' gibbon, a *bilou*, *Hylobates klossi*, butchered for a female headed household with little access to meat. Traditionally, this species, one of the closest to *Homo sapiens* and the closest primate that is monogamous (Tilson and Tenaza 1976), was revered with only an occasional *bilou* hunted and eaten, ritually, by young male Mentawais.
- Few modern drugs are available on a regular basis and most Mentawai rely on the medicines of the *sekerei* who tend to hold the accumulated knowledge of plant food, medicine, and technology. To their credit, the traditional Mentawai are some of the most vital and healthy appearing people with whom I have ever worked. But I have met too many sick elderly people, often fairly solitary in the day in their family lodges, who beg desperately for medicine. There are limits to what even a *sekerei* can do about aging, anywhere, and less by a wayward conservation planner. Siberut ethnoscience may not have placed a priority on dealing with the illnesses of the elderly and perhaps it is worth augmenting these systems with some pharmaceuticals.
- Because it is low-lying, Siberut seems, at first, to be flat. But the heavy rains on the soft parent material has created fantastically incised and deep ravines the complexity of which is difficult to conceive from a map. The cognitive maps of the locals are very different from those of outsiders attempting to glean clues from topographic maps of limited accuracy. It is these topographic maps and not traditional spatial knowledge of the intricacies of

particular watersheds, that have provided the basis for the conservation plans. The boundaries of these (paper) protected areas have been difficult to ascertain on the ground – for the Mentawai, for the logging companies, and for outside conservationists. Day-to-day conservation has been barely spatialized and remains an imposed concept. Yet the concerns over ownership of traditional sites and the management of traditional plant and animal resources, which are obsessions in the traditional villages, have barely been connected. Traditional management is so site-specific that it has been largely ignored in the "official" transactions about space while the blocky conservation areas, with the boundaries so difficult to discern, remain a largely alien mythology.^{lix}

- My most embarrassing time in an **uma** was when I gave some gifts to individuals instead of to the male head of the household. I was swiftly, firmly, and graciously corrected. This incident left me with even more respect for my hosts but with a nagging sense that I still probably conduct many transactions incorrectly in Mentawai terms. Therefore, I often look for guidance and am tentative. I rarely commit to "done deals" and I think that I have been perceived as wavering just as I have perceived some of my guides and informants, to use the current North American pejorative, as "flakes."
- I have had only one "bad scene" on Siberut and it was mainly comical. I had a guide who grew up off-island and who had some experience with "timber cruising" on Siberut. It was difficult for him to adapt to environmental research. He tried hard to please but had only limited patience with long days of scientific observation.^{lx} He got bored and drunk and became sexually aggressive with a young teenaged girl. If I had not been there, the villagers probably would have really hurt him. When young men chased him with spears, they showed me that the tips had not been dipped in the traditional poison. Subsequently, that uma kindly took responsibility for guiding me out of their territory.
- The Amsterdam-based ethnography Reimer Schefold (1972, 1973, 1980) has worked in the Sakkudei area. Many people in south central Siberut, as a gesture of friendship to me, had asked if he and I were related even though there is not much of a resemblance. At times, people talked about "Reimer" a bit too much for comfort. But what emerged is that he had been one of the few outsiders who had maintained regular contact and had prepared the more remote groups for the coming of other foreigners some of whom might be able to help them prosper. The "Reimer" cult was something of a codeword for being able to negotiate between cultures. For example, the Sakkudei (a particularly well-organized set of tribal communities) have been able to charge cultural visitor fees even to people who claimed that they were not just tourists or plant collectors.

Each of these episodes involves a set of intercultural questions that must be successfully resolved, through consensus of the major parties, if there is to be adequate conservation and "sharing" of information. Without this prerequisite, the new resources brought through the Convention will have little impact in terms of real conservation. All of these issues have questions of linkages between spatial documentation, ownership, land management, "information storage," transfer of sufficient material for financial returns, and empowerment of the Mentawai. It is these webs of subtle issues, that often seem deceptively interpersonal, that flag areas for negotiation around intellectual property, transfers of germplasm and information, and land management.



3 ■ Policy implications

In this, the final part of this policy discussion, I emphasize the adaptive nature of inherently communal systems of traditional knowledge / landscapes. I outline the threats posed by the undervaluation of traditional knowledge and cultural ecosystems. I then explore the implications for Canadian government policy of both the resilience of traditional knowledge bearers and their vulnerability through increased power and resources directed to capital city-based bureaucracies. I make some specific policy recommendations directly relevant to the 1995-98 period and reflect upon the prospects for local direction of new programmes developed under the auspices of the new resources provided through the Convention.

Adaptive systems of traditional knowledge and transmission of information

What would motivate a *sekerei* to share traditional plant knowledge with an outsider? Not much that I have mentioned so far. Certainly, it is possible to acquire tantalizing clues by offering cash, tobacco, transport, gadgets, western medicine, cheap thrills, and even friendship. A few Ph.D. theses can even be generated particularly for people from the developed world.^{lxi} But what will motivate the *sekerei* to work together to form new "institutions" for information management and security for the communal good? What could provide the basis for watershed or island-wide systems of intellectual property? The tourists and biodiversity prospectors, who in Indonesia are all under the rubrics of national institutes, may provide some ideas, some more myths and dreams, for some new arrangements even if fundamentally improved frameworks are still years away. The following is a very optimistic speculation on the linking of genetic resources, local autonomy, environmental management, and exchange of information.

I do not think that the *sekerei* have been sufficiently impressed with much of the intrusions of the modern world into their communities to be motivated to sharing their secrets. For much of that "lore," this will remain the case for a long time. The Mentawai have seen a lot of accords, pacts, and agreements that are not very different from what comprises a Convention. But the Mentawai had nothing to do with this Convention. In this context, the *sekerei* can see too clearly, especially now with the increasing presence of cultural tourists, how their knowledge can become appropriated and devalued and yet is in increasing demand. Only the informants of Wanda Avé and Satyawan Sunito have had the patience and interest to want to begin to transfer a *system* over an extended period. But then again, few questions have been asked persistently and it is clear to the *sekerei* that the global marketplace for traditional knowledge and germplasm involves many contradictory motives, demands, and means of exchange. But planners and researchers are still dealing in vague paradigms and mythic sequences: "debt swaps for nature," information for "conservation," conservation for "sustainable development" etc. Rarely have these colonial and postmodern myth cycles been site-specific, linking place, people, culture, survival, and prosperity, particularly in reflecting the ways that the information has emerged and has been stewarded.

The *sekerei* are acutely aware that they possess something of value because they make their living from its exchange under the rubrics of **their** communities. But to make transfers without a communally managed framework, without autonomous institutions that might not even appear to

outsiders as intact or stable, would have no purpose. I doubt that much of the current increase in world interest in intellectual property for traditional knowledge (Yasin and Posey 1993) will change this. The prospectors, the national institutions, and the conservationists have still not provided a credible, indeed practical basis, for the participation of the *sekerei* in such globalizing transactions (Alexander 1993). We are all still in search of authentically postcolonial frameworks for uses of transactions around biodiversity.

Genetic resources, biodiversity prospecting, and exchange values

At this point it is necessary to reconsider the notion of "genetic resources" and its relationship to use value for particular groups and "stakeholders." We can ask just how are these 'resources,' in what way they are 'genetic,' and why are they important and for whom. We can develop lists of genetic resources for particular economic and research sectors as well as for particular social groups and regions.^{lxii} The nature of the priorities of the combined lists of genetic resources as they affect economies, land management, and public policy reflect the extent of the research and inventories and, more importantly, the power relationships between the various social groups vying for many different resources. Dasmann's 1975 essay provides the seminal outline of "ecosystem" versus "biosphere" level societies and respective patterns of reliance on biological resources. The traditional Mentawai use a tremendous number of species, and respective genetic resources, "lightly" whereas the global economy places heavy emphasis on a smaller number of plants. Therefore, the genes used by this latter group become particularly valuable for societies and political entities that want to accumulate globally generated wealth. But in this framework, many species of importance to the Mentawai are effectively devalued.

Traditional cultures like the Mentawai may be able to obtain cash, technology, information, and other resources for knowledge and germplasm of certain genetic resources of significance to globalized biosphere peoples via global markets. For example, there has been considerable interest in the genes of the wild citrus species of the Indo-Malayan forests because of the temperature zone fruit industry and its breeding programs and the need to find new genes for disease resistance. But for a local food resource, wild citrus has very low significance since these particular species rarely bear fruit Siberut. In contrast, a local staple like sago, which is heavily managed, locally, illicitly very little interest from groups and organizations aligned with the world economy. "Prospecting" (Clifford 1993), therefore, usually involves a meeting of two distinctly different but fluid set of valuations as well as divergent spatial, environmental, and social signifiers. "Biodiversity prospecting" (Reid et al. 1993) can involve a kind of reductionist "imperialism" and at the least an inversion of local values and knowledge systems. This devaluation can engender a lack of willingness, on the part of the *sekerei*, to discuss certain species. And without their support and participation, thorough surveys are impossible.

While conservation and procurement of genetic resources of wild and traditionally utilized species does not involve the destruction of habitats, cultural landscapes, and traditional societies, to suggest that biodiversity prospecting, without regulation, is neutral and is not part of the tightening grip of the 'New World Order' is naive. Differentials in valuations of biological diversity have subtle influences on the nature of information exchange and the *sekerei* are acutely aware of this. Until these differentials are less severe, the bulk of traditional knowledge on valuable species will not flow into the global information net.

Intellectual property, protected areas, and exchange of traditional knowledge

Biosphere peoples and our global economy need as much access to biodiversity as possible. The *sekerei* do as well though they have enough to deal with and steward on Siberut. For ecosystem peoples, protection through the zoning of biosphere reserves involves the distinctly neocolonial concepts of "reserve," whether it be extractive, traditional, or ecological. Biosphere peoples need the knowledge of the *sekerei* which is in turn embedded, indeed encoded, in Mentawai lands, traditional communities, and cognitive maps in order to be assured that the resources are secure and accessible. The postcolonial narrative is that traditional landscape as a dynamic adaptive cultural system. But there are still few state programs^{lxiii} and "incentives"^{lxiv} for the *sekerei* to share their perspectives on their terms and little more than shells of various international agreements^{lxv} to link profits from prospecting in order to allow the Mentawai to more securely conserve their lands. This contradiction limits the flow of information and there is presently a global race to increase the market value of such cultural / biodiversity reserves in order to counter the pressures to liquidate respective ecosystems for unsustainable export of raw materials. But without new Mentawai-based institutions, for transmission, use, and exchange of knowledge, both the spectres of forest destruction and wanton or corporate biodiversity prospecting could both be threats to the entire cultural fabric of the *sekerei*.

Many of remaining indigenous societies in Indonesia and Malaysia are on "the periphery of state power" (Tsing 1993, page 5) and they will probably want to keep it that way. In contrast, the *Convention on Biological Diversity* is an accord between nation states^{lxvi} while the institutions of traditional communities and minority groups are not exactly on "equal" terms with those states. There are few, if any, formalized pathways for assuring the flow of funds from Mentawai knowledge and biological resources back from national institutes^{lxvii} to Siberut. Therefore, "why in the world" would the *sekerei* want to give information that would benefit a national or provincial agency that is perceived as contributing to the disempowerment of local institutions? Guardians of traditional knowledge would have to be pretty desperate or opportunistic. But despair is not a basis for the trust and continuity necessary for "sustaining" (Holloway 1993) the primary forest nor for maintaining knowledge bases with the onslaught of potentially genocidal cultural change.

The following are some possibilities and lost opportunities for making the Convention contribute to the security of the "reserves" on Siberut as zones of overlapping and semi-protected jurisdictions involving local owners, state apparatuses, and international stakeholders and organizations.^{lxviii}

For the Mentawai, ownership of biodiversity as intellectual property^{lxix} is, therefore, profoundly linked to the autonomous management of their traditional lands. The respective conditions vary greatly between watersheds and with the impacts of the village relocations. A nature reserve, a biosphere reserve, an extractive reserve, a zone of traditional knowledge all involve heightened competition between national and global stakeholders. Indonesian government institutions and nongovernmental organizations^{lxx} are increasingly positioned to mediate between local and global stakeholders especially when there are still unresolved contradictions between local and international interests. Without the upper hand in these shifting frameworks of flow of knowledge and technology, the *sekerei* will be reduced to being underpaid suppliers of forest compounds in these social "contracts."^{lxxi} But why would traditional healers accept such little compensations unless their traditional lands, forests, and social economies, are under assault and individuals are reduced to worrying about personal survival.

Implications for Canadian government policy

Canada has played a leadership role in the implementation of the agreement made at the Rio

de Janeiro United Nations Conference for Environment and Development (UNCED) often referred to as "Agenda 21." The International Development Research Centre (IDRC), a federal agency, was given the principle mandate for Canadian international programmes.^{lxxiii} In addition, the larger Canadian government foreign aid agency, CIDA, increasingly contributes to habitat conservation, in its development assistance projects, in a number of ways as do numerous non-governmental organizations which often administer additional Canadian funds. However, given the complex issues involved, the lack of a proven history by these particular institutions in supporting habitat conservation particularly of rain forest, bureaucratic inertia from various quarters, and declining funding (Stackhouse 1994), achievements so far have been modest.

In their review of the implications of the Convention for Canadian agriculture, Harvey and Fraleigh (1995) argue that it will assure a steady flow of genetic resources from South to North. They described three federal government consultative groups, as of late 1994, for implementation of the Canadian Biodiversity Strategy: there is a Biodiversity Working Group, the Biodiversity Convention Advisory Group, and a federal interdepartmental committee.

For most practical purposes, there are two separate Canadian efforts for implementation of the Convention: one domestic and the other bilateral. These dual venues represent relatively separate discourses around interpretations and uses of biological diversity. Such separation of tracks might only create more bureaucratic inertia if it were not for the fact that there remain considerable Canadian economic interests resisting more systematic habitat conservation in Malaysia, Indonesia, as well as in the Canadian hinterlands. While Canada has been active in the export of resource extraction technologies for many decades, particularly for logging and mining, Malaysian interests are now aggressively following these examples, even back in the tropics of the western hemisphere. In this period of rapid globalization, with almost a scrambling of boundaries and identities, it is the well-funded extractive and biotechnology technology that will dominate over even the most organized networks of minority cultures.

In the present context of increasing investment and rapid capital flow, the North-to-South financial resources, afforded by the Convention and largely paid for by the South-to-North flow of biological resources, are little more than diplomatic window-dressing for mitigation of the worst environmental disasters and losses of biological resources. And this is particularly problematic for Canadian diplomacy and government credibility, in the domestic sphere, as human rights abuses of minority rural communities intensify. In the rapidly moving game board, Canada is increasingly shifting from functioning as an elite member of the G7 countries to an economic peer of rapidly industrializing Indonesia and Malaysia. But in this equation Canada remains vulnerable because it has nowhere near the biological resources possessed by the tropical countries. In the long-term, the needs of Canadian biotechnology and agricultural interests, for Indonesian and Malaysian resources could well exceed those for Canadian technology, and the efforts to strike a delicate balance will be a central determinant for the nature of the bilateral transactions under the Convention. And the impacts of economic superpowers, such as Japan, are not always predictable as motives vacillate between short-term profit and longer-term prestige (Mathewson 1989, Jones 1990). Localized aspirations of minority communities, in all three countries, will require new international agreements or, at the very least, extensive revisions of the current framework that is as yet to be fully functioning.

If the efforts that lead up to the signing of the Convention are to have any contributions to more effective and systematic conservation in Indonesia and Malaysia, a carefully constructed patchwork of Canadian government and nongovernmental programmes, as well as those of other G7 nations, must be put in place. And resources must be shifted from Canadian to local Indonesian and Malaysian institutions with the least amount of RI and FoM interference. Given Canada's own

difficulties in fostering much local control in its own hinterlands, the prospects for new initiatives originating from Canadian federal institutions are very limited.

Recommendations for Canadian agencies and non-governmental organizations

Having argued that much of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* is fundamentally misdirected, at least for the realities of the Indonesian and Malaysian hinterlands, there are a number of Canadian government-funded initiatives that might enhance the positions of local groups like the Mentawai in their own efforts to conserve their own knowledge base and the biological resources of their islands.

- **A small Canadian government office could be established in either Jakarta and Kuala Lumpur specifically dedicated to fostering biodiversity conservation in Malaysia and Indonesia.** Even in these times of budget cuts, such an investment would be worthwhile. Both nations are highly strategic for Canada in terms of implementation of the Convention. This is for two reasons. The two countries are exceptionally rich in biological resources, particularly when marine species are included, second only to the Amazon Basin. Secondly, Canada has special economic and political links with both countries that would allow limited Canadian government foreign assistance funds to be more effectively used than in other tropical countries. These two countries might well become the major foreign recipients for Canadian foreign assistance for biological conservation, at least for Asia.

- **Canadian assistance in biodiversity conservation could emphasize empowerment of tribal minority communities in the Indonesian and Malaysian hinterlands.** As well as support for parks controlled by central government bureaucracies and the sampling and transfer of genetic resources to national laboratories, Canadian support could go to:
 - documentation of ethnobiology and traditional conservation, management practices, and tenure;
 - establishment of locally controlled museums, laboratories, and cultural institutions in remote areas; and
 - training for the legal and political expertise, by minority groups, in assertion of land claims, ownership of resources, and intellectual property.

- **Canadian assistance could emphasize long-term linkages between specific hinterland communities and bioregions and particular Canadian institutions including universities, research centres, and non-governmental organizations.** Canadian organizations and institutes would need to have more direct input into Canadian government management of biodiversity conservation funds for those countries while representing their constituents. The funds available would be, at best, quite modest in comparison to the large scales CIDA and even some IDRC projects in the 1980s and early 1990s but, if there were funding commitments for more extended periods such as for three to five year periods, could be managed for high local output.

- **To balance the emphasis on hinterland impacts, some Canadian funds in Malaysia and Indonesia could also go to education and museum projects, on biodiversity conservation, in urban areas.** This support would function to maintain high Canadian profiles with the educated urban middle classes and to foster the difficult bridges between these groups and their rural contemporaries.

Conclusions: Prospects of local direction of new programmes under the *Convention on Biological Diversity*

"International institutions do not supersede or overshadow states. They lack resources to enforce their edicts. To be effective, they must create networks over, around, and within states that generate the means and the incentives for effective cooperation among those states." Robert O. Keohan, Peter M. Haas, Marc A. Levy (1993, page 24)

The *sekerei* of Siberut already are "actively engaging in their marginality" (Tsing 1993, page 5) but as Bhabha (1990) suggests "the colonized can parody but never remake" (Tsing 1993, page 16). The *sekerei* represent one of the major Mentawai institutions that are still resisting internal colonization from the RI. But now outside interests are coming for the most lucrative commodity yet: information. The Mentawai, particularly those not relocated, remain adaptive rather than imitative. But it remains to be seen whether biodiversity prospecting and the various "protected" zones that are expanded under the are required will be beneficial for traditional communities or just more "pacts of semi-colonization" (Virilio 1990, page 45).

The position of the *sekerei* will probably be to hang on to as much information as possible until life on the island deteriorates further; until the cultural landscapes in which the bulk of their information is inextricably imbedded are further destroyed. It is in a heightened engagement in averting this fate of loss of (bio)cultural diversity, between traditional forest peoples and groups of Canadians committed to long-term listening and exchanges, that there are the beginnings of a truly postcolonial paradigm for conservation and decision-making over land and living resources. On this long road, The *Convention on Biological Diversity* has afforded only a few additional and very modest administrative opportunities.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the following individuals and organizations: Setigati Sastrapradja the Director of the Indonesian national biotechnology institute, many kind activists in Indonesian non-governmental organizations such as those affiliated with WALHI, Art Mitchell who currently is directing the Asian Development Bank project on Siberut, Toni and Jane Whitten; Zulfilki - Universitas Andalas; Nancy Peluso - Yale University; Jeff McNeely - IUCN; Wanda Ave; Satyawan Sunito; Budiwan; Tuppak Napitupulo; Andrew Vayda - Rutgers University; Arthur Hanson - Dalhousie University; Malcolm Hadley - Unesco; Herman Rjiksen; Hans Nooteboom - University of Leiden; and Hilda The - WWF. Thanks to Professor Hainwald of LIPI and SEAMEO - BIOTROP for his initial sponsorship of field work in Siberut. I remain indebted the villagers of south central Siberut for their kindness, hospitality, and especially their sharing of information.

Funding for this discussion was provided by the Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada with funding for

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

the field work from the University of California, Berkeley and subsequently from The University of British Columbia and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- Abdullah, T.
1972 Modernization in the Minangkabau world: West Sumatra in the early decades of the Twentieth Century. In *Culture and Politics in Indonesia*. B. R. Anderson (editor). 1 - 69. Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press.
- Aiken, S. R. and C. H. Leigh
1992 *Vanishing Rain Forests: The ecological transition in Malaysia*. Oxford UK, Clarendon.
- Aiken, S. R., C. H. Leigh, T. R. Leinbach, M. R. Moss.
1992 *Development and Environment in Peninsular Malaysia*. Singapore, McGraw-Hill.
- Alexander, David.
1993 Some theses in intellectual property and the environment. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law (RECEIL)*: 2(2): 113 - 120.
- Allegretti, M. H.
1994 Policies for the use of renewable natural resources: The Amazonian regional and extractive activities. In *Extractivism in the Brazilian Amazon: Perspectives on regional development*. M. Clüsener-Godt and I. Sachs (eds.). Man and Biosphere Programme Digest 18. Unesco, Paris. 14 - 33.
- Alrasjid, H. and R. Effendi
1979 Pengaruh Eksploitasi Dengan Traktor Terhadap Kerusakan Sisa di Kelompok Hutan Hujan Tropis Palau Pagai Selatan, Sumatera Barat. laporan, N. 293. Bogor, Indonesia, Balai Penelitian Hutan.
- Anderson, B. R.
1972 The idea of power in Javanese culture. In *Culture and Politics in Indonesia*. B. R. Anderson (editor). 1 - 69. Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press.
- Argawal, A.
1984 Beyond pretty trees and tigers: The role of ecological destruction in the emerging patterns of poverty and people's protests. *ICSSR (Indian Council of Social Science) Newsletter XV(1)*: 1-27.
- Ashton, P. S.
1982 Dipterocarpaceae. *Flora Malesiana Series 1, Volume 9(2)*: 237 - 552.
- Asian Development Bank
1992 Appraisal of the Biodiversity Conservation Project in Flores and Siberut in Indonesia (Restricted, LAP: INO 23154), on file, Asian Development Bank, Manilla, October 1992.
- Athnasiou, T.
1992 After the summit. *Socialist Review* 22(4): 56 - 92.
- van Bemmelen, R. W.
1949 *The Geology of Indonesia*. Volume IA. General geology of Indonesia and adjacent islands. The Hague, Government Printing Office.
- Berke, R. L.
1993 Clinton supports two major steps for environment. *The New York Times* April 22, 1993: A1, A4.
- Bhabha, H. K.
1990 The other question: Difference, discrimination and the discourse of colonialism. In *Out There: Marginalization and contemporary cultures*. Russell Ferguson, Martha Gever, Trinh T. Minh-ha, and Cornel West eds. 71 - 87. Cambridge, Massachusetts, MIT Press.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Blockstein, D. E.

1989 Toward a federal plan for biological diversity. *Issues in Science and Technology* Summer 1989: 63 - 67.

Bonner, R.

1988 The New Order. Part 1, *The New Yorker* (June 6, 1988): 45 - 79; Part II, *The New Yorker* (June 13, 1988): 72 - 91.

Boo, E.

1990 *Ecotourism: The potentials and the pitfalls*. Volumes 1 and 2. Washington, DC, World Wildlife Fund.

Borri, G. L.

1986 La festa delle piroghe. *Atlante* (Il Mensile Dell'Istituto Geografico de Agostini) December 1986: 110 - 119.

Brent, M.

1979 *La Vallée des Hommes-Fleurs*. Paris, Librair Artaud.

Brush, S. B.

1993 Indigenous knowledge of biological resources and intellectual property rights - the role of anthropology. *American Anthropologist* 95(3): 653 - 671.

Brandon, K. A.

1995 People, parks, forests or fields: A realistic view of tropical forest conservation. *Land Use Policy* 12(2): 137 - 144.

Brockway, L. H.

1979 *Science and Colonial Expansions: The role of the British Royal Botanic Gardens*. London, Academic Press.

Bunker, S. G.

1985 *Underdeveloping the Amazon: Extraction, unequal exchange, and the failure of the modern state*. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Burgess, P. F.

1971 The effect of logging on hill Dipterocarp forests. *Malayan Nature Journal* 24: 231 - 237.

Caldwell, L.K.

1991 Globalizing environmentalism: Threshold of a new phase. *Society and Natural Resources* 4: 259-272.

Canal-Forgues, Eric

1993. Code of conduct for plant germplasm collecting and transfer. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law (RECEIL)*: 2(2): 167 - 171.

Castaneda, Carlos

1970 *The Teachings of Don Juan: A Yaqui way of knowledge*. New York, Simon and Shuster.

Clifford, H. C.

1993 Chemical prospecting. *Profiles* (July 1993): 53 - 60.

Clüsener-Godt, M. and I. Sachs

1994 Perceptions of extractivism: Introduction and overview. In *Extractivism in the Brazilian Amazon: Perspectives on regional development*. M. Clüsener-Godt and I. Sachs (eds.). Man and Biosphere Programme Digest 18. Unesco, Paris. 5 - 13.

Coghlan, A.

1992 Biodiversity Convention a lousy deal, says United States. *New Scientist* (July 4, 1992) 135 (1828-9): editorial.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Cohen, M.

1993 Return to tradition: the medicine man's renaissance (Siberut, Indonesia). *Wall Street Journal* (Tuesday, February 23, 1993): A16, A18.

Colhester, M.

1986 Unity and diversity: Indonesia's policy towards tribal people. *The Ecologist* 16 (2/3): 89 - 98.

1986 The struggle for land: Tribal people in the face of the Transmigration Programme. *The Ecologist* 16 (2/3): 99 - 110.

1987 Transmigration update: Programme slashed in response to Transmigration Campaign. *The Ecologist* 17(1): 35 - 41.

Cooper, D.

1991 Genes for sustainable development: Overcoming the obstacles to a global agreement conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. In *Biodiversity: Social and Ecological Perspectives*. V. Shiva, P. Ander, H. Schücking, A. Gray, L Lohmann, and D. Cooper (editors). 105 - 123. Penang, Malaysia, World Rainforest Movement.

Coronese, S.

1986 *Kebudayaan Suku Mentawai*. Jakarta, P T Grafidian Jaya.

Crimp, Douglas

1992 *On the Museum's Ruins*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, MIT Press.

Dasmann, Raymond F.

1975. Difficult marginal environments and the traditional societies which exploit them. *Survival International Review* II(1): 11 - 15.

Davis, G.

1988 The Indonesian transmigrants. In *People of the Tropical Rain Forest*. J. L. Denslow and C. Padoch (editors). 143 - 153. Berkeley, California, University of California Press.

Davis, Wade

1987 *The Serpent and the Rainbow*. New York, Simon and Shuster.

1988 *Passage of Darkness: The ethnobiology of the Haitian Zombie*. Chapel Hill, North Carolina, University of North Carolina Press.

Davis, Wade and Thom Henley

1990 *Penan: Voices for the Borneo Rainforest*. Vancouver, Western Canada Wilderness Committee.

Dallmeier, G., M. Kabel, and R. Rice

1992 Methods for long-term biodiversity inventory plots in protected tropical forest. *MAB Digest* 11: 11 - 46.

Davidson, G. W. H.

1988 *Endau Rompin: A Malaysian heritage*. Kuala Lumpur, Malayan Nature Society.

De Klemm, C.

1985 Preserving genetic diversity: A legal review. *Landscape Planning* 12(3): 221 - 238.

Directorate General of Tourism.

no date *Indonesia national parks & nature reserves*. Jakarta, Directorate General of Tourism.

Erlanger, S.

1988 Indonesia takes steps to protect rain forests: a complex balance between preservation and development. *The New*

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

York Times (Tuesday, September 26, 1988): B7, C4.

1989 Indonesia takes steps to protect rain forests; a complex balance between preservation and development. *The New York Times* (Tuesday, September 26, 1989) 139: B7, C4.

1990 For Suharto, his heirs are key to life after '93. *The New York Times* (Sunday, November 11, 1990): A11.

Eudey, A. A.

1987 *Action Plan for Asian Primate Conservation 1987 - 91*. Report of the IUCN / SSC Primate Specialist Group. Gland, Switzerland, IUCN / UNEP / WWF - International.

FAO

1981 *National Conservation Plan for Indonesia. Volume III. National Park Development and General Topics*. Bogor, Indonesia, FAO.

FAO

1978 *Soil Maps of World. Southeast Asia*. Paris, Unesco.

FAO

1982 *National Conservation Plan for Indonesia. Volume II - Sumatra*. (Field Report of United Nations Development Programme/FAO National Parks Development Project). INS/78/061 Field Report 39. Bogor, Indonesia, FAO.

Fowler, C. and P. Mooney.

1990 *Shattering: Food, politics, and the loss of genetic diversity*. Tucson, Arizona, University of Arizona.

Frankel, O. H.

1984 Genetic diversity, ecosystem conservation, and evolutionary responsibility. In *Ecology in Practice Part I: Ecosystem Management*. edited by F. Di Castri, F. W. G. Baker, and M. Hadley. 414 - 427. Paris, Unesco.

Gómez, Rodrigo, Alfio Piva, Ana Sittenfeld, Eugenia Leon, Jorge Jimenez, and Gerardo Mirabelli

1993 Costa Rica's conservation program and National Biodiversity Institute (INBio). In *Biodiversity Prospecting: Using genetic resources for sustainable development*. pages 53 to 68. Washington, DC, World Resources Institute, Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (Costa Rica), Rainforest Alliance, and African Centre for Technology Studies (Kenya).

Gollin, Michael A.

1993 An intellectual property rights framework for biodiversity prospecting. In *Biodiversity Prospecting: Using genetic resources for sustainable development*. pages 131 to 158. Washington, DC, World Resources Institute, Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (Costa Rica), Rainforest Alliance, and African Centre for Technology Studies (Kenya).

Gray, A.

1991 The impact of biodiversity conservation on indigenous peoples. In *Biodiversity: Social and Ecological Perspectives*. V. Shiva, P. Ander, H. Schücking, A. Gray, L Lohmann, and D. Cooper (editors). 59 - 76. Penang, Malaysia, World Rainforest Movement.

Jones, C.

1990 Japan will pay Malaysia to save tropical forests; move is an effort to dodge 'eco-outlaw' accusations made by critics. *Christian Science Monitor* (Wednesday, November 28, 199) 83(3): 6.

Hackett, D. P.

1992 Was the United States right not to sign the Biodiversity Convention - A competitive disadvantage. *ABA Journal* (September 1992) 78: 43.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Haeruman, H.

1980 Development planning and the environment. In *Towards Inter-Governmental and Inter-Agencies Cooperation in Indonesian Eco-Development*. Proceedings of Workshop on Environmental Coordination of Development Agencies, Jakarta. June, 1979. H. Haeruman (editor). 53 - 64. Jakarta, Indonesia, Minister of State for Development Supervision and Environment.

Hainsworth, G. B. (editor)

1984 Environmental Linkages: A summary report of the proceedings of the INDONESIA-CANADA ENVIRONMENT CONFERENCE, Mont-Sainte Marie, Canada, 9 - 11 October, 1984. on file, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Dalhousie University Institute of Resource and Environmental Studies.

page
35

Hanson, A. J.

1981 Transmigration and marginal land development. In *Agriculture and Rural Development in Indonesia*. G. Hanson (editor). 219 - 235. Boulder, Colorado, Westview Press.

Hardjasoemantri, K.

1985 *Environmental Legislation in Indonesia*. Jokjakarta, Indonesia, Gadjah Mada University Press.

Hardy, C. D.

1994. Patent protection and raw materials - The Convention on Biological Diversity and its implications for United States policy on the development and commercialization of biotechnology. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Business Law* 15(2): 299 - 326.

Harvey, B. C. and B. Fraleigh.

1995 Impacts on Canadian agriculture of the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Canadian Journal of Plant Sciences* (January 1995) 75(1): 17 - 21.

Harun, W. K. and I. G. M. Tantra

1980 Flora di daerah perluasan suaka margasatwa Teitei Batti Siberut, Kepulauan Mentawai. Departemen Pertanian Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian Lembaga penelitian Hutan Laporan No. 358. Bogor, Indonesia, Lembaga Penelitian Hutan.

Hathaway, C. M.

1992. Was the United States right not to sign the Biodiversity Convention - A threat to property rights. *ABA Journal* (September 1992): 78: 42.

Hendrix, K.

1990a. Vanish forest fells way of life (deforestation in Malaysia, part 1). *Los Angeles Times* 109 (Sunday, March 18, 1990): A1.

1990b. Malaysia's native people feeling bite of logger's ax (a first hand perspective on deforestation in Malaysia). *Los Angeles Times* 109 (March 19, 1990): A1.

Holloway, Marguerite

1993 Sustaining the Amazon. *Scientific American* (July 1993): 90 - 99.

Holman, R. L.

1991 Malaysia turns preservationist (reduces timber production). *Wall Street Journal* (Friday, April 5, 1991): A10, A11.

Homma, A. K. O.

1994 Plant extractivism in the Amazon: Limitations and possibilities. In *Extractivism in the Brazilian Amazon: Perspectives on regional development*. M. Clüsener-Godt and I. Sachs (eds.). Man and Biosphere Programme Digest 18. Unesco, Paris. 34 - 57.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

House, A. P. N.

1983 The use of palms by man on Siberut Islands, Indonesia. *Principes* 27(1): 12 - 17.

House, A. P. N.

1984 The ecology of *Oncosperma horridum* on Siberut Island, Indonesia. *Principes* 28(2): 85 - 89.

Humphrey, D.

1962 Indonesia's national plan for economic development. *Asian Survey* 10 (2): 12 - 21.

Ingram, G. B.

1983 Parks in the 21st Century. *Not Man Apart*. February/March 1983: 7.

1987 Conservation of wild plants in crop gene pools and their intraspecific variation: Current needs and opportunities in the moist forest of SE Asia. In *The Conservation and Management of Endangered Plants and Animals*. Proceedings of the Symposium on the Conservation and Management of Endangered Plants and Animals, Bogor, Indonesia, June 1986. C. Santiapillai and K.R. Ashby (eds.) 63-85. Bogor, Indonesia, South-East Asia Center for Tropical Biology.

1989 Planning district networks of protected habitat for conservation of biological diversity: A manual with applications for marine islands with primary rainforest. Dissertation for a Ph.D. in environmental planning, University of California at Berkeley. Ann Arbor, Michigan, University Microfilms International.

1990 The need for knowledge from indigenous communities in planning networks of protected habitat for the conservation of biological diversity: Three island settings. In *Ethnobiology: Implications and applications*. Proceedings of the First International Congress on Ethnobiology (Belem, Brazil 1988). Part 2. M.J. Plotkin (ed.), pp. 87-105, Belem, Para, Goeldi Museum.

1991 Biological, visual and recreational values and the planning of extractive development and protected areas: A tale of three islands. *Landscape and Urban Planning* (Amsterdam) 21: 109 - 129.

1992 The remaining islands with primary rainforest: A global resource. *Environmental Management* (Massachusetts) 16(5): 585 - 595.

1994a Rainforest conservation initiated by traditional island communities: Implications for development planning. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies* (Ottawa) XV(2): 193 - 218.

1994b Breaking the code: drawing / site / territory. *FUSE Magazine* (Toronto) XVII(3): 37 - 39.

International Development Research Centre.

1993 "Theme statement on biodiversity." Final draft - 5 February, 1993. on file, IDRC, Ottawa.

International Task Force - World Resources Institute, The World Bank, United Nations Development Programme 1985
Tropical Forests: A Call for Action. Parts I - III. Washington, D. C., World Resources Institute.

IUCN.

1980 *World Conservation Strategy: Living resource conservation for sustainable development*. Gland, Switzerland, IUCN.

Juma, C.

1989 *The Gene Hunters: Biotechnology and the scramble for seeds*. Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press.

Jensen, D. B., M. Torn, and J. Harte.

1990 *In Our Own Hands: A strategy for conserving biological diversity in California*. Berkeley, California, University of California - California Policy Seminar Research Report.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Johns, A. D.

1983a Ecological effects of selective logging in a West Malaysian rain-forest. Ph.D. thesis. on file University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England.

1983b Tropical forest animals and logging - could they co-exist? *Oryx* XVII: 114 - 118.

1985 Selective logging and wildlife conservation in tropical rain-forest: Problems and recommendations. *Biological Conservation* 31: 355 - 375.

Kantor Menteri Negara Kependudukan dan Lingkungan Hidup - Republik Indonesia.

1992 *Indonesian Country Study on Biological Diversity*. Prepared for the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP). A study under the work programme for environmental cooperation between the Republic of Indonesia and the Kingdom of Norway. Jakarta, Ministry of State for Population and Environment.

Kartawinata, K.

1975 Geographic and climatic analysis of the nature reserve system in Indonesia. *BioIndonesia* 1: 15 - 22.

Keohane, R. O., P. M. Haas, M. A. Levy.

1993 The effectiveness of international environmental institutions. In *Institutions for the Earth: Sources of effective international environmental protection*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Kothari, A.

1992 Politics of Biodiversity Convention. *Economic and Political Weekly* (April 11, 1992) 27(15): 749.

Laird, Sarah A.

1993 Contracts for biodiversity prospecting. In *Biodiversity Prospecting: Using genetic resources for sustainable development*. pages 99 - 130. Washington, DC, World Resources Institute, Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (Costa Rica), Rainforest Alliance, and African Centre for Technology Studies (Kenya).

Lescure, J-P., F. Pinton, and L. Emperaire.

1994 People and forest products in central Amazonia: The multidisciplinary approach of extractivism. In *Extractivism in the Brazilian Amazon: Perspectives on regional development*. M. Clüsener-Godt and I. Sachs (eds.). Man and Biosphere Programme Digest 18. Unesco, Paris. 58 - 88.

Los Angeles Times.

1994 Indonesia revokes forest concessions, (move toward curbing excessive logging). *Los Angeles Times* (Monday, December 19, 1994) 114: D5.

Leonard, H. J. and D. Morell

1981 Emergence of environmental concern in developing countries: A political perspective. *Stanford Journal of International Law* 17: 281 - 313.

Lewis, P.

Storm in Rio: The day after. *The New York Times* June 15, 1992: A1, A5.

Los Angeles Times.

1994 Indonesia revokes forest concessions, (move toward curbing excessive logging). *Los Angeles Times* (Monday, December 19, 1994) 114: D5.

Loeb, E. M.

1928 Mentawai social organization. *American Anthropologist* 30(3):408 - 433.

1929 Mentawai religious cult. *University of California Publication of the American Archives of Ethnography* 25(2): 185 - 247.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

1935 (1972) *Sumatra: Its history and people*. Singapore, Oxford University Press.

Los Angeles Times.

1994 Indonesia revokes forest concessions, (move toward curbing excessive logging). *Los Angeles Times* (Monday, December 19, 1994) 114: D5.

Lusigi, W. J.

1978 *Planning Human Activities on Protected Natural Ecosystems*. Lehre, Germany, J. Cramer.

MacAndrews, C.

1986a Central government and local development in Indonesia: An overview. In *Central Government and Local Development in Indonesia*. C. MacAndrews (editor). 6 - 19. Singapore, Oxford University Press.

1986b The structure of government in Indonesia. In *Central Government and Local Development in Indonesia*. C. MacAndrews (editor). 20 - 41. Singapore, Oxford University Press.

McNeely, J. A.

1979 Island paradise for wildlife and people. *Oryx* XV(2): 159 - 165

Machlis, G.E.

1992 The contribution of sociology to biodiversity research and management. *Biological Conservation* 62: 161-170.

Marsden, W.

(1811) 1966 *The History of Sumatra*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, Oxford University Press.

Mathewson, W.

1989 A plea to Japan (environmental groups urge Japan to stop importing timber from Malaysia's Sarawak state rain forests being destroyed) *Wall Street Journal* (Tuesday, October 31, 1989): A16, A18.

Meijer, W.

1982 Plant refuges in the Indo-Malesia Region. In *Biological Diversification in the Tropics*. G. Prance (editor). 576 - 584. New York, Columbia University Press.

Menzies, Nicholas K. and Nancy Lee Peluso

1991 Rights of access to upland forest resources in southwest China. *Journal of World Forest Resource Management* 6: 1 - 20.

Mitchell, A. H.

1982 *Siberut Nature Conservation Area, West Sumatra Management Plan 1983 - 1988*. Bogor, Indonesia, Perlindungan dan Pelestarian Alam.

Mitchell, A. H. and R. L. Tilson

1986 Restoring the balance: Traditional hunting and primate conservation in the Mentawai Islands, Indonesia. In *Primate Ecology and Conservation* Volume 2. Else and Lee (eds.). 249 - 260. London, Cambridge University Press.

Mooney, P. R.

1992 *Seeds of the Earth: A private or public resource?* Ottawa, Inter Pares.

Morfit, M.

1986 *Pancasila* orthodoxy. In *Central Government and Local Development in Indonesia*. C. MacAndrews (editor). 42 - 55. Singapore, Oxford University Press.

Neumann, R.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

1993 Biodiversity Convention ratified. *Search* (October 1993) 24(9): 250.

Nooy-Palm, H.

1968 The culture of the Pagai-islands and Sipora, Mentawai. *Tropical Man* 1: 152 - 241.

Nooy-Palm, C. H. M.

1972 Mentaweians. In *Ethnic Groups of Insular SouthEast Asia* Volume 1: Indonesia, Andaman Islands and Madagascar. F. M. Lebar (editor). 41 - 46. New Haven, Connecticut, Human Relations Area Files Press.

Office of Technology Assessment of the Congress of the United States.

1987 *Technologies to Maintain Biological Diversity*. OTA-F-330. Washington DC, US Government Printing Office.

Palmberg, Christel and J. T. Esquinas-Alcazar.

1990 The role of the United Nations agencies and other international organizations in the conservation of plant genetic resources. *Forest Ecology and Management* 35: 171 - 197.

Pampus, K. H.

1994 Sabirut - Taburit 'Siberut' Die lautgesetze der Simalegi - sprache im Mentawai Archipel (Westsumatra). *Zeitschrift Der Deutschen Morgenlandischen Gesellschaft* 144(1): 114 - 129.

Peluso, Nancy Lee

1992 The political ecology of extraction and extractive reserves in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Development and Change* (SAGE) 23(4): 49 - 74.

PHPA - Republic of Indonesia

1981 *Laporan Survei Penjajagan/Plotting Areal Lagar Alam Laut di perairan Palau Siberut, Propinsi Sumatera Barat*. Bogor, Indonesia, PHPA.

Pitt, D. E.

1994 Biological treaty, with the goal of saving species, becomes law. *The New York Times* January 2, 1994.

Pusat Penelitian Tanah, Bogor

1982 *Peta Renchana Pengukuhan dan Penatagunaan Hutan. Propinsi dati I Sumatera Barat*. Skala 1:500,000 Lembar 1, Lembar 2. Bogor, Pusat Penelitian Tanah.

Putterman, D. M.

1994 Trade and the Biodiversity Convention. *Nature* (October 13, 1994) 371 (6498): 553 - 554.

Quiroz, C.

1994 Biodiversity, indigenous knowledge, gender and intellectual property rights. *Indigenous Knowledge & Development Monitor* 2(3): 12 - 15.

Rajasekaran, B. and D. M. Warren

1994 IK for socioeconomic development and biodiversity conservation: The Kolli hills. *Indigenous Knowledge & Development Monitor* 2(2): 13 - 17.

Rambo, A. T.

1979 Primitive man's impact on genetic resources of the Malaysian tropical rain forest. *Malaysian Journal of Applied Biology* 8(1): 59 - 65.

Redclift, M.

1987 *Sustainable Development: Exploring the contradictions*. London, Methuen.

Reid, Walter V., Sarah A. Laird, Rodrigo Gámez, Ana Sittenfield, Daniel H. Janzen, Michael A. Gollin, and Calestous Juma.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

1993 A new lease on life. In *Biodiversity Prospecting: Using genetic resources for sustainable development*. pages 1 to 52. Washington, DC, World Resources Institute, Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (Costa Rica), Rainforest Alliance, and African Centre for Technology Studies (Kenya).

Republic of Indonesia Ministry of National Development.

1993 *Biodiversity Action Plan for Indonesia*. Jakarta, National Development Planning Agency.

Republic of Indonesia Ministry of Forestry.

1992 *Indonesia: A glimpse of nature conservation*. Jakarta, Ministry of Forestry.

Ridley, H. N.

1926 The flora of the Mentawai Islands. In *Spolia Mentawiensia*. C. B. Kloss (editor). *Kew Bulletin* (1926) 56 - 94.

Riley, J. H.

1929 A review of the birds of the islands of Siberut and Sipora, Mentawai Group (Spolia Mentawiensia). *Proceedings of the United States Museum* 75 (4): 1 - 45.

Ripley, S. D.

1944 The bird fauna of the West Sumatra Islands. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology* XCIV(8): 306 - 430.

Salwasser, H.

1990 Conserving biological diversity: A perspective on scope and approaches. *Forest Ecology and Management* 35: 79 - 90.

Sastrapradja, S., S. Adisoemarto, K. Kartawinata, and R. C. Tarumingkeng

1980 The conservation of forest animal and plant genetic resources. *BioIndonesia* 7: 1 - 42.

Sastrapradja, S. and M. A. Rifai

1975 Exploration and conservation of the undeveloped genetic resources in Indonesian forests. *BioIndonesia* 1: 73 - 83.

Schefold, R.

1972 Divination in Mentawai. *Tropical Man* III: 10 - 87.

1973 Religious conceptions of Siberut, Mentawai. *Sumatra Research Bulletin* 11(2): 12 - 24.

1980 *Spielzeug für die Seelen*. Zurich, Switzerland, Museum Rietberg Zurich.

Schlickeisen, Rodger.

1994 The US won't be shielded in the event of a biocrisis: Clinton and the Senate must signal support for Convention on Biological diversity. *Christian Science Monitor* (Wednesday, November 23, 1994) 86(252): 19.

Schoenberger, K.

1992 The quagmire of rainforest logging (in Malaysia; first steps taken to curb logging of ancient forests). *Los Angeles Times* (Monday December 21, 1992): D1.

Scholz, U.

1983 *The Natural Regions of Sumatra and Their Agricultural Production Pattern: A regional analysis*. Volumes 1 and 2. Bogor, Central Research Institute for Food Crops.

Schultes, R.E.

1991 Ethnobotany and technology in the north-west Amazon: Example of a partnership. *Environmental Conservation* 18(3): 264-267.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Schlotz, A.

1989 Conserving biological diversity: Who is responsible? *Ambio* 18(8): 454 - 457.

Secrett, C.

1986 The environmental impacts of transmigration. *The Ecologist* 16(2/3): 77 - 88.

Shahler, F.

1994 Biological diversity - The international management of genetic resources and its impact on biotechnology. *Ecological Economics* VII(3): 227 - 236.

Sierra Club, International Program.

1986 *Bankrolling Disaster: International development banks and the global environment*. Washington, D.C., Sierra Club.

Sittenfeld, Ana and Rodrigo Gámez

1993 Biodiversity prospecting by INBio. In *Biodiversity Prospecting: Using genetic resources for sustainable development*. pages 131 to 158. Washington, DC, World Resources Institute, Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (Costa Rica), Rainforest Alliance, and African Centre for Technology Studies (Kenya).

Soemarwoto, O.

1989 *Analisi Dampak Lingkungan*. Yogyakarta, Gadjah Mada University Press.

Solbrig, O. T.

1991 Biodiversity: Scientific issues and collaborative research proposals. *MAB Digest* (Paris, Unesco) 9.

Stackhouse, J.

1994 Rio summit little more than a memory. *The Global and Mail* December 10, 1994.

Straus, J.

1993 The Rio Biodiversity Convention and intellectual property. *IIC - International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright Law* (October 1993): 24(5): 602 - 615.

Swanson, T. M.

1992 Economics of a Biodiversity Convention. *Ambio* 21 (3): 250 - 257.

Tefft, S.

1992 Malay forest fight. (Malaysia government and citizens fight over destruction of tropical rain forests by logging industry). *Christian Science Monitor* 84(153) (Thursday, July 2, 1992): 9.

Tenaza, R. R.

1976 Wild mynahs mimic wild primates. *Nature* 259: 561.

Tenaza, R. R. and Tilson, R. L.

1977 Evolution of long-distance alarm calls in Kloss's gibbon. *Nature* 268: 233 - 5.

1984 Human predation and Kloss's gibbon (*Hylobates klossii*) sleeping trees in Siberut Islands, Indonesia. *American Journal of Primatology* 8: 299 - 308.

The Crucible Group

1994 *People, plants, and patents. The impact of intellectual property on biodiversity conservation, trade, and rural society*. Ottawa, International Development Research Centre.

Tilson, R. L.

1977 Social organization of Simakobu monkeys (*Nasalis concolor*) in Siberut Island, Indonesia. *Journal of*

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

Mammalogy 58: 202 - 212.

1981 Family formation strategies of Kloss's gibbons. *Folia Primatologica* 35: 259 - 287.

Tilson, R. L. and R. R. Tenaza.

1976 Monogamy and duetting in an Old World monkey. *Nature* 263: 320 - 321.

1982 Interspecific spacing between Gibbons (*Hylobates klossii*), and Langurs (*Presbytis potenziani*) on Siberut Island, Indonesia. *American Journal of Primatology* 2: 355 - 361.

Tsing, Anna Lowenhaupt.

1993 *In the Realm of the Diamond Queen: Marginality in an out-of-the-way place*. Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press.

Unesco

1984 The Action Plan for Biosphere reserves. *Nature and Resources* XX(4): 1 - 12.

Unesco

1991 Biosphere reserves as an international tool to conserve, monitor and study biological diversity - Information note. document: sl document/convent2 19.6.91. Paris, Unesco.

UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) / RI (Government of the Republic of Indonesia)

1983 *Environment Sector Review*. Jakarta, United Nations Development Programme.

UNEP (United Nation Environment Programme) (host)

1992 *Convention on Biological Diversity*. June 1992. versions: Na. 92-5509 / Na.92-7807 / Na.92-8314. UNEP, Nairobi, Kenya.

Vernhes, J. R.

1989 Biosphere reserves: The beginnings, the present, and the future challenges. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Biosphere Reserves, Fourth World Wilderness Congress, September 14 - 17, 1987, Estes Park, Colorado, USA*. Atlanta, Georgia, U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

Verstappen, H. T.

1973 *A Geomorphological Reconnaissance of Sumatra and Adjacent Islands (Indonesia)*. Groningen, The Netherlands, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishers.

van Strien, N. J.

1982 *Protected Birds of Indonesia*. Ciawi, Bogor, Indonesia, School of Environmental Conservation Management.

Virilio, Paul

1978 *Popular Defense & Ecological Struggles*. New York, Semiotext(e). [translated by Mark Polizzotti]

Vogel, J. H.

1994 *Genes for Sale*. New York, Oxford University Press.

Vogel, J. H. and G. B. Ingram

1993 Biodiversity versus 'genetically coded functions': The importance of definitions in conservation policy. *RECIEL: Review of European Community & International Environmental Law* (London) 2(2): 121 - 125.

Wallace, A. F. C.

1951 Mentawai social organization. *American Anthropologist* 53(3): 370 - 378.

Wallace, C. P.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

1991 In Malaysia, a culture is undercut. *Los Angeles Times* 110 (Tuesday, July 23, 1991): H6.

Whitten, A. J.

1980 The Kloss gibbon in Siberut rain forest. dissertation for a Ph.D. on file King's College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England.

1982a A numerical analysis of tropical rain forest, using floristic and structural data, and its application to an analysis of Gibbon ranging behaviour. *Journal of Ecology* 70: 249 - 271.

page
43

1982b *The Gibbons of Siberut*. London, J. M. Dent.

1987 Indonesia's transmigration program and its role in the loss of tropical rain forests. *Conservation Biology* 1(3): 239 - 246.

Whitten, A. J., S. J. Daminik, J. Anwar, and N. Hisyam

1984 *The Ecology of Sumatra*. Jogjakarta, Indonesia, Gadjah Mada University Press.

Whitten, A. and Z. Sardar

1981 Master plan for a tropical paradise. *New Scientist* 268: 230 - 235.

Whitten, T., J. Whitten and A. House

1979 Solution from Siberut? *Oryx* XV (2): 166 - 169.

Whitten, J. E. J.

1980 Ecological separation of three diurnal squirrels in tropical rainforest on Siberut Island, Indonesia. *Journal of Zoology* 193: 405 - 420.

Wilson, E. O.

1988 The current state of biological diversity. In *Biodiversity*. E. O. Wilson (editor). 3 - 17. Washington, D. C., National Academy Press.

Wilson, W. L. and C. C. Wilson

1978 Primates in undisturbed and logged forests in Sumatra and East Kalimantan. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Effects of Logging in Southeast Asia, Darmaga, Indonesia*. 1975. 153 - 158. Bogor, Indonesia, BIOTROP (Special Publication N. 3).

Wood, David

1995 Conserved to death. Are tropical forests being over-protected from people? *Land Use Policy* 12(2): 115 - 135.

World Conservation Monitoring Centre

1992 *In situ* conservation of crops and wild relatives of crops. In *Global Biodiversity: Status of the Earth's Living Resources*. B. Groombridge (editor). 544 - 549. London, Chapman and Hall.

World Resources Institute(WRI), The World Conservation Union (IUCN), and United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

1992 *Global Biodiversity Strategy: Guidelines for action to save, study and use Earth's biotic wealth sustainably and equitably*. Washington, D.C., World Resources Institute.

WWF - Indonesia

1980 *Saving Siberut: A conservation master plan*. Bogor, Indonesia, WWF - Indonesia.

Yamin, F. and D. Posey.

1993 Indigenous peoples, biotechnology and intellectual property rights. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law (RECEIL)*: 2(2): 141 - 148.

Notes

- i. For discussions of the emergence of the term "biodiversity" and the range of often contradictory scientific and social perspectives that it involves, see Argawal (1984), Wilson (1988), WRI / IUCN / UNEP (1992), and J. H. Vogel. and G. B. Ingram (1993). The notions embodied in the terms "biological diversity" and "biodiversity" are not very fixed at the present time. For an overview of some of the theoretical research questions that have been articulated around "biodiversity," see Solbrig (1991). For an overview of some of the social research questions, see Machlis (1992).
- ii. I adapted some of the frameworks used by Anna Lowenhaupt Tsing on "marginality." In her work on Kalimantan she notes that "The dominant frameworks for understanding recent encroachments, however, ignore long histories of marginality to posit conditions of "before" versus "after" - of pristine isolation, on the one hand, and rapid cultural destruction or modernization, on the other" (Tsing 1993, page 7). Instead, she emphasizes "shifting, multistranded conversations in which there never was full agreement" (page 8) and which suggest more indefinite mechanisms for adaptation to marginalization.
- iii. For an introduction to this increasingly well-publicized group, see Schefold's essay in Lindsay (1992), Lindsay, Loeb (1929), Schefold (1972, 1973), and Cohen (1993).
- iv. See Ingram (1989, 1990, 1992, and 1994a).
- v. Siberut is part of the Mentawai Island and is in the Indian Ocean. I am considering Siberut part of the Pacific Rim because the island is on the edge of Indonesia which has one of the most dynamic economies in the Pacific.
- vi. My notions of "extractive reserve" are similar to those perspectives in the essay by Nancy Lee Peluso (1992) that emphasizes the site and cultural-specificity of such zones. She notes that for her study areas in Kalimantan, that "the politics of forest management, at both the national and local levels, are more conducive to village level extractive reserves than to regional, labour-based organizations" (abstract). For a review of "extractivism" in the Amazon Basin, see Clüsener-Godt and Sachs (1994), Allegretti (1994), Homma (1994), and Lescure et al. (1994).
- vii. For a theoretical discussion of the relationship between intellectual property, environmental management, and biodiversity conservation, see Alexander (1993) and The Crucible Group (1994).
- viii. See Chapter 6, "Genesteaders," pages 40 to 51 of Vogel (1994).
- ix. For some indication of the reasons in the interest in Siberut, see Margot Cohen's essay "Return to tradition: The medicine man's renaissance (Siberut, Indonesia), *Wall Street Journal* (Tuesday, February 23, 1993): A16, A18.
- x. One of the most extensive articulations for the need for some kind of an international initiative can be found in Mooney (1982). Expansions on this perspective was developed by Juma (1989) and Fowler and Mooney (1990).
- xi. Recently, there have been debates around whether protected area status for tropical forests are, in fact, effective such as the one between David Wood (1995) and Brandon (1995).
- xii. IUCN is an acronym that now stand for The World Conservation Union but at the time was the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources.
- xiii. In the U.S., the Office of Technology Assessment of Congress (1987) issued a key review. Jensen (et al. 1990) articulated a strategy for California as did Blockstein (1989 and Salwasser (1990) for U.S. publicly-owned forest lands.
- xiv. For an example of the kind of thinking that went into the notion of global responsibility for conservation of biological resources, see Schløtz (1989).
- xv. For more articulations of the position on the Convention that was in opposition to international regulation of biotechnology transfer, see Coghlan (1992), Kothari (1992), Hathaway (1992), Hackett (1992), Straus (1993), Putterman

(1994), and Hardy (1994).

xvi. Indonesia was the eighth signatory and this was at the beginning of UNCED on June 5, 1992. Malaysia was the ninety-third signatory and this was at the end of UNCED on June 12, 1992. Canada was the forty-fifth signatory and this was on June 11, 1992.

xvii. For a discussion of the dynamics of UNCED, see Lewis (1992). For an overview of the aftermath of the 1992 UNCED conference, see Athanasiou (1992).

xviii. There was a tremendous amount of political wrangling before the US finally signed the Convention on June 4, 1993 (*The Globe and Mail* - World in Brief, June 5, 1993). Also see Neumann (1993), Schlickeisen (1993), Berke (1993) and Pitte (1994).

xix. For a discussion of the GEF and the *Convention on Biological Diversity*, see page 96 of French (1994) where she notes that, "the GEF is a controversial operation. Many developing countries and NGOs have distrusted its close associations with the World Bank. NGOs maintain that the bank has long ignored local people in its large development projects."

xx. The Asian Development Bank project on ecotourism development on Siberut, that began to be implemented in 1994 (see initial project document - Asian Development Bank 1992), have a large component of GEF funding.

xxi. For a technical overview of the nature of monitoring in tropical rainforest, see Dallmeier et al. (1992).

xxii. For an example of an early example of the kind of Canadian government support that has gone to environmental management in Indonesia, see Hainsworth (1984).

xxiii. For an example of the increasingly public concerns about the influence of the relatives of politicians, see Erlanger (1990).

xxiv. A major international donor in support of the effort to conserve the biological diversity of Endau-Rompin was from the Canadian High Commission.

xxv. The counterproductivity of the frameworks of the "noble savage" and the "primitive" for support for the survival and autonomy of traditional communities was illustrated in Davis' work with the Penan of Sarawak (Davis and Henley 1990).

xxvi. For a discussion of the negation of site and ties to the land in contemporary Canadian portrayals of the culture of indigenous communities, see Ingram 1994a. A tentative discussion of postmodern 'site-specificity' and its relation to both marginalized and colonized cultures can be found on page 186 of Douglas Crimp's 1992 *On the Museum's Ruins*.

xxvii. The Mentawai Islands extend in a string from the 1 degrees N to 3 degrees 30'S with the most westerly point being 97 degrees 30' E and the most easterly point being 100 degrees 45' E.

xxviii. Siberut lies between the Equator and 98 degrees 30' E; the Equator and 99 degrees E; 2 degrees S and 99 degrees 45' E; and 2 degrees S and 98 degrees 30' E.

xxix. The Mentawai Islands first become isolated from Sumatra about 500,000 years ago. The final separation of Sumatra from the Asian mainland took place about 20,000 years before present. At various times in the Pleistocene, with lower sea levels, Siberut was connected to other Mentawai islands and nearly to Sumatra by the Batu, Tanahbala, Tanahmasa and Pini Islands. Subsequently there has been a "progress of insulation" (Marsden 1811).

xxx. The soils of Siberut are the weathering products of limestones and volcanic materials and are highly erodible. There are also some pockets of *organosols*, labelled *dystric fluvisols* in the FAO system, along the north and east coasts (Scholz

1983). These soils have developed in recent marine deposits and alluvium. There are some pockets of *gleysols* and alluvium associated with partially submerged lowlands and mangroves.

xxxi. Siberut is but one pronunciation in Mentawai. "Sabirut" and "Taburit" are also used in certain local dialects (Pampus 1994).

xxxii. The major language groups on Siberut are the Togilite, Simalegi, Simatalu, Paipajet, Sagulubbe, Sirileeu, Sabirut, Sarareiket, Sakuddei, Silaoinan, Saibi and Sarabua, Sempungan, Sirabaluan, Pokai and Sikapona.

xxxiii. None of the medicinal plants are mentioned here because this information has not been given to outsiders to disseminate. The information is not "public knowledge." An extensive study on traditional Mentawai medicinal plants was filed with the WWF and IUCN by Avé and Satyawan in 1990. There are too many species of potential value as pharmaceuticals to be discussed in this essay.

xxxiv. The terms in italics that follow the English words for these species are from dialects of Mentawai. The terms have not been standardized for a particular language group though most terms are recognized in south central Siberut.

xxxv. For a full list of the sources of plant information and confirmations, see Part 6 and the Appendices for Ingram 1989.

xxxvi. For the sources of the information on local Dipterocarps, see Part 6 and the Appendices in Ingram 1989.

xxxvii. For one of the most relevant discussions of the mixed impacts of early tribal Malay societies on the genetic diversity of the forests of the Malay Peninsula, see Rambo (1979).

xxxviii. Whitten and Sardar (1981) noted that 35,700 hectares of Siberut had been allocated by the government for transmigration and other rice-growing settlement. Various types of political and fiscal resistance to funding transmigration settlements emerged in the mid-1980s (Whitten 1987). The decline in financial support by the multilateral banks (Colchester 1987) suggest that the establishment of transmigration settlements on Siberut, in the near future, are highly unlikely. The types of ecological impacts of transmigration that could have occurred on Siberut include forest clearing that precludes regeneration, habitat conversion, soil erosion, and an array of secondary effects (Secret 1986) related to intensive agriculture and increased densities of human population. Most of the potential agricultural development in the region has involved rice farming and estate crops such as cloves (Scholz 1983).

xxxix. The future for site planning, landscape architecture and the spatial precision of decision-making for Siberut will continue to be problematic. The island is so enshrouded in clouds and forest and so deeply incised by stream systems that precise spatial data is very difficult to obtain and to verify. As for prospects of better integration of conservation plans into regional planning, it will first be necessary to develop and promote a more socially and ecologically integrated concept of local development. There may be some progress in integration of criteria for biodiversity conservation into landscape planning but only at the broader scales for zoning such as at 1:50,000.

xl. By 1980, 4 logging companies were working on the islands and their concessions included all of the island except for the nature reserve, the cultivated land and settlements.

xli. As with much of the logging in southeast Asia, logging on Siberut have shifted from domination by multinational concerns on Siberut to regionally based and domestically owned firms (Gillis 1988).

xlii. The areas on Siberut, which had been selectively logged as of 1978 (pages 20 and 21, WWF-Indonesia 1980), greatly expanded between 1980 and 1990 though formal survey data has not been made available.

xliii. On Siberut, logging has involved the cutting of 6 to 8 trees per hectare with an average of 25% of those cut not removed because of rotten cores.

xliv. Logging roads on Siberut are often 60 meters wide. Logging roads also bring with them such several secondary impacts as soil erosion.

xlv. The entire eastern coast of Siberut was zoned as *Hutan produksi yang dapat dikonversi* for conversion to intensive agriculture particularly rice growing. Along with the agricultural zone, and with it taking up over half of the island, was *Hutan Produksi*, a zone allowing for timber plantations and intensive cropping of perennials. Around the protected areas, were areas for supposedly sustained timber production called *Hutan Lindung* and *Hutan Produksi Terbatas*.

xlvi. For a discussion of the problems of regulating selective logging in similar forests in Malaysia, see (Johns 1985).

xlvii. On Siberut, trees smaller than 50 centimeters in diameter have been illegally cut. There has been some logging near rivers and watercourses and on steep slopes.

xlviii. Modern programmes of habitat conservation in Indonesia began with the Society for Nature Protection of the Dutch East Indies in 1900 (Kartawinata 1975, Hardjasoemantri 1985).

xlix. A United Nations report examined the problems in Indonesia resulting from the lack of integration of concerns for the conservation of habitat and wild species into planning for forest utilization (UNDP / RI 1983). It suggested that as much as 16.5% of the forest area of Indonesia might need to be classified as "Nature conservation forest" and that management planning for each protected tract was necessary.

i. The central legislation for conservation as part of forest management as been *Undang-undang Pokole Kahutanan No. 6 Tahun 1967*. The provincial-level offices within the Ministry of Forestry carry out the policies of the central government and were also responsible to provincial governors.

ii. IUCN and WWF supported efforts for a conservation master plan beginning with field work in 1976 (Whitten et al. 1979, Whitten 1981, WWF - Indonesia 1980), subsequent land use proposals beginning in 1979 (Mitchell 1982), and a management plan in 1982 - 1988 (Mitchell 1982).

iii. The research on the primates of Siberut, an area with some of the rarest primate species on Earth (Eudey 1987), has involved social structure (Tilson 1977, Tilson 1981, Tilson and Tenaza 1976, Tilson 1981), behaviour (Tenaza 1976, Tenaza and Tilson 1977, Tilson and Tenaza 1976, 1982), and ecology and anthropology (Tenaza and Tilson 1984, Mitchell and Tilson 1986).

liii. Unfortunately, the quality of the habitat of this area was relatively low because of past land use and the presence of a village. In response to the WWF proposals, a 50,000 hectare extension to the nature reserve was gazetted in 1979. The actual document establishing the conservation area is listed as *Surat Keputusan Menteri Pertanian No. 758 / Kpts / Um / 12 / 1979* and there is an elaboration in English on page 32 of Mitchell (1982). An extension to the nature reserve was proposed (Mitchell 1982, FAO 1982).

liv. Unfortunately, the FAO study was at a scale that made it difficult to consider the needs of small communities - even those destined to be within designed protected areas.

lv. Even after the initial international focus on Siberut as a globally important conservation area, in the mid-1980s, there was an awareness that additional intervention would be necessary. For example, a task force of the World Resources Institute (International Task Force of World Resources Institute, UNDP 1985) listed as one of its priorities for Indonesia to, "identify additional conservation areas and establish proposed reserves on Siberut."

lvi. It has been the visions of Whittens (Whitten et al. 1979, Whitten and Sardar 1981) and Art Mitchell (Mitchell and Tilson) which have laid the basis for a holistic framework of conservation of both culture and habitat. Piecemeal and cosmetic conservation has been the obstacle to development of a comprehensive framework for habitat conservation and management and thus the Siberut Biosphere Reserve has been the least implemented of these international designations in

Indonesia.

lvii. The taxonomies for virtually all plant and animal groups have contentious areas, and identification for many groups will continue to be problematic. Fortunately, the Mentawai ethnobiologies are extremely rich and relatively comprehensive for local knowledge bases. Inventorying of the protected areas has been difficult, though there have been some attempts such as by Harun and Tantra (1980).

lviii. As for possibilities of any local government agencies and politicians invested in conservation, it is highly unlikely as long as the PHPA is part of the Forestry Ministry. Whereas timber harvesting has made this a relatively well-funded ministry, conservation has not generated funds for its coffers. As for environmental non-governmental agencies, what little conservation occurred has been largely due to their efforts. There have been few clashes between local Mentawai communities and RI authorities.

lix. In stating the need for on-going revision of a management plan, for Siberut, Mitchell and Tilson stressed the imperative of taking into consideration "local attitudes" and "creation of systems of land and resource use that extend beyond the boundaries of the nature reserve."

lx. I have yet to apply for a permit to collect genetic material and traditional knowledge associated with it though have worked on Sumatra with national and international agencies. My work on Siberut has been related to surveying biodiversity to consider options for conservation.

lxi. For two examples of these that could not have been completed without reliance on Mentawai traditional knowledge, see Whitten (1980) and Ingram (1989).

lxii. See the groupings of genetic resources for social groups for the case studies in Ingram 1989.

lxiii. The most ambitious program for the state coordination of conservation, inventorying, and prospecting of biological resources is Costa Rica's National Biodiversity Institute (INBio) (Gámez et. al.). The institute is for a small and relatively centralized country and its structures are less relevant to large and less centralized states such as Indonesia.

lxiv. For a discussion of the State, traditional rural communities, and incentives for conservation, see Nancy Peluso's 1991 essay.

lxv. For a discussion of international instruments on intellectual property, that this relevant for discussions of traditional knowledge, see Yasmin and Posey (1993) pages 143 to 145.

lxvi. The writing of the Convention involved considerable involvement from Indonesian national institutes and the RI was the eighth government to sign the Convention, on June 5, 1992. The Convention was ratified on December 30, 1993.

lxvii. For a discussion of the permitting processes for biodiversity, that increasingly involve more than one national institute, see Sarah Laird's 1993 article.

lxviii. For an example of one aspect of the growth of international groups involved in conservation and procurement of genetic resources and traditional knowledge, see Palmberg and Esquinas-Alcazar (1990) on the initial involvements in the United Nations organizations.

lxix. For a discussion of intellectual property rights frameworks for prospecting, see Gollin's 1993 essay.

lxx. There are a number of regional and Mentawai Islands NGOs that deal with a range of social issues, with environmental dimensions, as well as the national environmental NGO, walhi (Wahana Lingkungan Hidup Indonesia), Jalan Penjernihan I, Kompl. Keuangan no. 15, Pejompongan, Jakarta 10210.

Gordon Brent INGRAM, The University of British Columbia, Canada-Southeast Asia Policy Paper, June 1995
Implications of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* for
institutional development in Indonesia and Malaysia: With a case study on Siberut, Indonesia

lxxi. For a discussion of contracts in biodiversity prospecting, see Laird 1993.

lxxii. One of the most direct discussions of the IDRC's mandate on fostering Canadian participation in the *Convention on Biological Diversity* can be found in IDRC (1993).